Comparison of the Functional and Pasting Characteristics of Millet (Pennisetum Glaucum), African Yam Bean (Sphenostylis Stenocarpa Hoechst Ex. A. Rich) and Tiger Nut (Cyperus Esculentus) Flour Blends for Complementary Food Production
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INTRODUCTION

Malnutrition in children is a major nutritional challenge in developing countries which leads to morbidity and mortality, retardation in physical growth and mental development, working capacity and increased risk of adult disease [1]. Malnutrition leads to unwelcome developments such as death, extended periods of hospitalization, poor quality of life and physical frailty. Malnutrition often begins at conception, and infant malnutrition is closely related with poverty, low levels of education, and lack of access to health facilities [2]. Therefore, early detection of malnutrition and subsequent nutritional intervention can significantly benefit the vulnerable population in addition to economic benefits like reducing the cost of medical care [3]. The growth of infants and children in the first two years of life is very rapid. And only breast feeding will not be enough for the infants nutritional needs [4]. Complementary feeding is needed for both nutritional and developmental reasons, and is an important stage in the change from only milk feeding to family foods. Complementary feeding is described as the process starting from when breast milk alone is no longer enough to meet the nutritional requirement of infants as such other foods and liquids are required along with breast milk [5]. In developing countries like India and Nigeria complementary foods are mainly based on cereals like maize, sorghum, or millet and tubers such as sweet potato and cocoyam. Infants and children are usually fed these staples in the form of pap. Challenges such as protein-energy malnutrition are usually encountered during the period of complementary feeding and this can be attributed to over consumption of cereal based pap, thus the need for incorporation of legumes in order to increase the protein content of the cereal based weaning foods. Millet, African yam bean and tiger nuts are among the underutilized, cheap and readily available crops found in African and many developing countries of the World. The successful use of plant protein sources as food ingredients depend to a large extent on their contribution to the overall beneficial qualities they impact to the manufactured food and this depend to a large extent on their functional and pasting properties. This research is aimed at investigating the functional and pasting properties of ten formulated flour blends from these crops in order to establish an appropriate formula for an affordable complementary food production. Functional and pasting properties of the flour blends were determined using standard procedures. The results obtained showed that bulk density ranged from 0.62 to 0.77 g/ml; water absorption capacity between 151 and 175%; swelling power between 4.21 and 5.60%. The peak viscosity ranged from 100 to 117 RVU; breakdown from 9.83 to 14.17 RVU; final viscosity between 142 and 171.17 RVU; setback from 31.50 to 67.42 RVU; pasting temperature from 84.83 to 88.10°C. This study revealed that all samples will make firm gels and good products.
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Cereals and legumes constitute the majority of sources of nutrients for traditional weaning foods in the developing nations. Cereal such as pearl millet has been grown in Africa and the Indian subcontinent since prehistoric times [6]. It is widely grown in the northeastern part of Nigeria, especially in Borno and Yobe states. Pearl millet is a staple food in Africa and many other developing countries where it is used to make flour, bread, porridge and several local beverages. In West Africa pearl millet is mainly produced for its grain which is used for human consumption, but the crop is also of good economic importance in livestock feeds, building materials and fuel. Davis A.J et al. [7] reported that the protein content of pearl millet is greater than that of corn and noted that broiler chicken diets with 50% pearl millet performed better than corn-soybean diets. Pearl millet has been used staple as food crop for thousands of years in a variety of food products and continues to be used as a staple grain by approximately 90 million people in Africa and India [8]. It contains more nutrients than rice or wheat, but it is considered as a subsistence produce for developing countries [9]. The crop contains higher amount of gross energy than corn, higher concentration of amino acids and 27 – 32% more protein [8].

Tiger nut has been considered as a foodstuff since ancient times [10]. According to [10] there are records from the 13th century that mentioned the consumption of a drink made from tiger nut in some Mediterranean areas especially the Valencia region (Southeast Spain). Recently, tiger nuts are also being grown in West Africa where they are mainly used as uncooked side dish and local beverages. Tiger nuts are rich in starch, oil, minerals, and vitamins; especially Vitamins C and E. The starch and oil constitute the major macronutrients in the tiger nut tuber. The high starch content of tiger nut provides unique functional properties [11]. The tiger nut oil contains high monounsaturated fatty acids that are similar to those of olive, avocado and hazelnut oils [12]. The dried tiger nut has protein contents range of between 7.15 to 9.70%, carbohydrate between 41.22 and 46.99%, lipid between 32.13 and 35.43%, and energy content of between 343 and 511 Kcal/100g [13]. Tiger nuts are very popular in West Africa where they are eaten as snacks. They have various uses, such as horchata, a nonalcoholic beverage of milky appearance derived from the fresh tubers mixed with sugar and water, and commonly consumed in Spain [14]. “Kunnu” is a nonalcoholic beverage prepared mainly from cereals such as millet or sorghum with spices (like dandelion, alligator pepper, ginger, licorice) and sugar. To make up for the poor nutritional value of kunnu made from cereals, tiger nut was found to be a good substitute for cereal grains. And it is then known as “kunnu aya”.

African yam beans were obtained from Genetic Resources Centre, International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan. While pearl millets and tiger nuts were purchased from a local dealer at Bodija market, Ibadan. The chemicals used for various analyses were purchased from Pyrex representative, Ibadan, Nigeria.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

African yam beans were obtained from Genetic Resources Centre, International Institute for Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan. While pearl millets and tiger nuts were purchased from a local dealer at Bodija market, Ibadan. The chemicals used for various analyses were purchased from Pyrex representative, Ibadan, Nigeria.

**Methods**

**Production of millet flour**

Three kilogram (3 kg) pearl millet grains were sorted to remove stones, dirt and other extraneous materials. The clean grains were washed and steeped in water (30±2°C) for 48 hrs for fermentation to take place. Fermented grains were dried in a cabinet drier at 80°C for 3hrs and dry milled in an attrition mill (Franky DM-WP 200 Electric Cereal mill) and were sieved using
210µm sieve to obtain the flour. The flours were packaged in high density polyethylene (0.08mm thick) and stored in a deep freezer (-10°C)

Production of tiger nut flour

The method described by [13] was used to prepare tiger nut flour. Dry tiger nut were sorted to remove extraneous materials and washed with portable water. The clean nuts were dried at 105°C for 3hrs. The dried nuts were milled, sieved and packaged in high density polyethylene and stored in deep freezer.

Production of African yam beans flour

The method of [23] was used for the preparation of African yam bean flour. The seeds were handpicked, carefully sorted and winnowed to remove immature and unwholesome seeds and other extraneous materials. The cleaned seeds were steeped in warm water (45°C; 10hrs) in a thermostatic water bath. The seeds were manually dehulled and washed and then decanted to remove the seed coats. The seeds were then dried in a cabinet drier (60°C; 8hrs) and milled in an attrition mill through a 210µm sieve and packaged in a high density polyethylene and stored in a deep freezer.

Formulation of complementary food blends

Ten (10) complementary food blends were formulated in the specified ratio to make 100% as shown in Table 1.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>PMF</th>
<th>AYBF</th>
<th>TNF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ITS</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>OTO</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>OAR</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>OAK</td>
<td>71.67</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>BUI</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CUO</td>
<td>73.33</td>
<td>13.33</td>
<td>13.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>BEL</td>
<td>76.67</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>11.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>71.67</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>11.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>OPE</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PMF = Pearl millet flour, AYBF = African yam bean flour, TNF = Tiger nut flour

Determination of bulk density (loose/ tapped)

The method described by [24] was used to determine the bulk density of the samples. A 10ml graduated measuring cylinder was weighed and filled with a known weight of sample, and the bottom of the cylinder was gently tapped on the laboratory bench several times until there is no further diminution of the sample level after filling to the 10ml mark. The packed volume was recorded and the bulk density was calculated as the ratio of the sample weight to the volume occupied by the sample after tapping.

\[
\text{Tapped bulk density} = \frac{\text{weight of sample}}{\text{volume of sample after tapping}}
\]

\[
\text{Loose bulk density} = \frac{\text{weight of sample}}{\text{volume of sample}}
\]

Determination of swelling power and solubility index

The swelling capacity was determined as described by [25]. About 1g of the sample was accurately weighed into 50ml centrifuge tube; 10ml of distilled water was added and mixed gently. The slurry was heated in a water bath at temperature of 100°C for 15 minutes. During heating, the slurry was stirred gently to prevent clumping of the flour. After 15 minutes, the tube containing the paste was centrifuged at 3000rpm for 15 minutes. The supernatant was decanted immediately. The weight of the sediment was taken and recorded.

\[
\text{Swelling power} = \frac{\text{weight of wet sediment}}{\text{weight of sample - weight of soluble}}
\]

\[
\text{Solubility index} = \frac{\text{weight remains after drying}}{\text{initial weight of sample}}
\]

Determination of gelation concentration

The method described by [24] was used to determine the gelation capacity. A sample suspension of 2%- 20% (w/v) in 5ml of distilled water was prepared in test tubes. The samples in the test tubes were heated for 1 hour in a boiling water bath followed by rapid cooling under running tap water. The test tubes were further cooled for 2 hours at 4°C. The least gelation concentration was determined as the concentration when the sample from the inverted test tube did not fall or slip.

Determination of water absorption capacity

The water absorption capacity was determined as described by [24]. About 1g of the sample was weighed into a clean 50ml centrifuge tube and mixed thoroughly with 10ml distilled water using a stirring rod for about 30 seconds. The sample was allowed to stand for 30 minutes at room temperature, after which it was centrifuged at 3500rpm for 30 minutes. After centrifugation, the volume of the free water (supernatant) was read directly from the graduated centrifuge tube. The absorbed water was converted to weight (in grams) by multiplying by the density of water (1g/ml). The water absorption capacity was
expressed in grams of water absorbed per gram of sample.

Absorbed water = total water – free water ……….(5)

**Determination of pasting properties**

Rapid Visco-Analyzer (RVA) of Newport Scientific, Warriewood, Australia was used to analyze the pasting properties of the flour blends upon heating and subsequent cooling. The RVA General Pasting Method (STD1) was applied. Total running time was 13 minutes and the viscosity values were recorded every 4 seconds by Thermocline Software as the temperature increased from 50°C to 95°C before cooling to 50°C again. Rotation speed was set to 960 rpm for the first 10 seconds and to 160 rpm until the end. About 3g of the flour and 25.0ml of distilled water was placed in a canister and a paddle was inserted and shaken through the sample before the canister was inserted into the RVA.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

All determinations were done in triplicates and data obtained were statistically analyzed and subjected to one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20, 2013. Means were compared and separated using Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) and LSD at p≤0.05.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

**Functional properties of complementary food blends**

The results of the functional properties of millet, African yam bean and tiger nut complementary flour blends is presented in Table 2.0. There were significant differences (p≤0.05) in both the loose and packed densities for all the complementary food blends. The loose bulk densities ranged from 0.50 – 0.54g/ml for samples OPE and BSA respectively. The packed bulk densities ranged from 0.62 – 0.77g/ml for samples OPE and BSA respectively. The values obtained in this study were within the range (0.45 – 0.51g/ml) for loose bulk density and 0.84 - 0.89g/ml for packed density reported by [26] for plantain and cowpea complementary food blends. The range 0.77 – 0.87g/ml for packed densities reported by [27] for orange fleshed sweet potato and Bambara groundnut flour blends is similar to the range obtained in this study. The range 0.62 – 0.70g/ml reported by [5] for sorghum, African yam bean and soybean complementary food blends are also within the range obtained in this experiment. The range 0.54 – 0.80g/ml was reported by [28] for defatted almond seed, yellow maize and quality maize flour blends. The value 0.65g/ml for orange fleshed sweet potato, cowpea and groundnut flour blends was reported by [29] while the value 0.61g/ml was reported by [30] for sorghum-mung bean-orange fleshed sweet potato flour blends. The values of the bulk densities obtained in this experiment imply that the sinkability and ability to disperse during mixing of sample BSA is higher than those of all other samples. The high densities of the flour blends obtained in this study indicate high dispersability of all the blends. It implies that all the blends will reconstitute to consistent mixture in mixing operations. The densities also signify that the blends are heavy and therefore less quantity of the flours can be packed in a specified volume. The densities of flours are very important in estimating packaging requirement and material handling operations.

The water absorption capacity (WAC) is an important property of flour in the development of ready to – eat – food cereal grains, as a high water absorption capacity may assure cohesiveness of products [31]. High water absorption capacity is generally an indication of the loose association of the starch polymer in the native granules. There are significant differences in the water absorption capacity of all the complementary food blends. The values of WAC obtained in this study ranged from 151% to 175%. This range is similar to the range 150 – 180% reported by [26] for plantain and cowpea complementary food blends. The range is also in consonance with the range 168 – 179% reported by [30] for sorghum, mung beans and orange fleshed sweet potato weaning foods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Loose bulk density (g/ml)</th>
<th>Packed bulk density (g/ml)</th>
<th>WAC (%)</th>
<th>Swelling power (90°C)</th>
<th>Swelling power (100°C)</th>
<th>Solubility (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITS</td>
<td>0.51±0.02&lt;sup&gt;cd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.73±0.01&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>161±0.00</td>
<td>5.10±0.28&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.11±0.01&lt;sup&gt;cd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.11±0.07&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTO</td>
<td>0.51±0.01&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.73±0.01&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>166±0.21&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.67±0.00&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.87±0.03&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00±0.00&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>0.54±0.00&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.77±0.02&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>151±0.01</td>
<td>4.00±0.26&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.20±0.00&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00±0.00&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAB</td>
<td>0.52±0.00&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.75±0.00&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>161±0.01&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.00±0.16&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.57±0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00±0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAK</td>
<td>0.51±0.00&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.71±0.00&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>165±0.00&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.40±0.07&lt;sup&gt;ef&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.93±0.04&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00±0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUI</td>
<td>0.52±0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.76±0.00&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>152±0.00&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.91±0.09&lt;sup&gt;ef&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.93±0.04&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00±0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUO</td>
<td>0.51±0.01&lt;sup&gt;cd&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.71±0.00&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>175±0.00&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.18±0.16&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.21±0.01&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00±0.00&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEL</td>
<td>0.53±0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.74±0.00&lt;sup&gt;ef&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>160±0.00&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.60±0.00&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.60±0.00&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.11±0.01&lt;sup&gt;de&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>0.52±0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.74±0.02&lt;sup&gt;ef&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>158±0.07&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.81±0.27&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.90±0.00&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.00±0.00&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPE</td>
<td>0.50±0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.62±0.00&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>165±0.00&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.20±0.03&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.30±0.07&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.10±0.00&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values within a column with different superscripts are significantly different (p≤0.05).
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Bello, F.A; Edeke, J.E et al. [5] Reported a range 130 – 240% for sorghum, African yam beans and soybean complementary food blends. And a range of 150 – 350% was reported by [28] for defatted almond seed, yellow maize and quality protein maize flours. Water absorption capacity is reflective of protein-water interaction in food systems and is therefore influenced greatly by protein content [32]. The high water absorption capacity observed in the flour blends is indicative of the possession of large number of water-binding sites due to the availability of hydrophilic and hydrophobic sites present in the starch molecules [33, 34]. Water absorption capacity is a desirable property of flour for food systems to improve yield and consistency and to give body to the food [35].

Swelling power is a measure of the hydration capacity of starches and it is used to provide evidence for associative binding forces within the starch granules [36]. According to [37] swelling power is an important property used to determine the amount of water that a food sample would absorb in addition to the degree of swelling within a specified time interval. There were significant differences (p≤0.05) in the swelling power at 100°C for all the samples. The swelling power obtained in this study ranged from 4.20 to 5.60 for samples BSA and BEL respectively. These values are slightly lower than the values (4.64 – 7.13%) reported by [30] for weaning food blends made from sorghum, mung beans and orange fleshed sweet potato. The values of swelling powers obtained in this experiment were observed to be far higher than the range 2.50 – 3.10% reported by [28] for complementary food blends made from defatted almond seed, yellow maize and quality protein maize flours. Bello F.A et al. [5] reported a range 1.00 – 1.10% for complementary food blends made from sorghum, African yam beans and soybean. The values of swelling power obtained in this study indicate that sample BEL with highest swelling power will form a thick viscous gruel than all other samples.

Solubility index is used to describe the extent of solubility of a flour sample and its potentials to gelatinize with much residual particles. It is an important property which is ultimately used to ascertain the consistency of food gruels [38]. The solubility index obtained in this experiment ranged between 0.00 and 11.0%. There were significant differences (p≤0.05) in the solubility of all the samples. Samples ITS and BEL are not significantly different from each other but are significantly higher than all the other samples [27]. Obtained a range between 9.27 and 11.67% for orange fleshed sweet potato and Bambara groundnut flour blends while [30] reported a range between 12.00 – 20.50% for weaning food blends made from sorghum, Mung bean and orange fleshed sweet potato.

Pasting properties of complementary food blends

The pasting properties are the most commonly assessed quality parameters which could be as a result of the fact that the methods are well established and have been proven to be reliable predictor of flour quality. Pasting properties are important as they are used in predicting the pasting behaviors and abilities of the flour samples [39]. The results of the pasting properties of complementary food blends are presented in Table 3.0. The peak viscosity obtained in this study ranged from 100.58 to 117.17 RVU. There were no significant differences (p≤0.05) between samples ITS, OTO, BSA, OAB, CUO, and LAC. But significant differences exist between these samples and samples OAK, BUI, and BEL. Sample LAC had the highest peak viscosity while sample BEL had the lowest value. The values of peak viscosity obtained in this study are far higher than the range 42.30 – 92.69 RVU reported by [39] for wheat and walnut flour blends but are within the ranges 87 – 214 RVU reported by [30] for weaning food blends made from sorghum, Mung beans and orange fleshed sweet potato flours [27]. Reported a range between 92.88 – 109.34 RVU for orange fleshed sweet potato and Bambara groundnut flour blends.

Flour performances in food systems depend on the cooking behavior of their starches, and it provides us with beneficial information in new food product development. High peak viscosity is an index of the relative suitability of the flour blends for products that requires high elasticity and strength [40]. Peak viscosity indicates the highest value of viscosity attained in a heating cycle by gelatinized starches and it is used to measure the ability of flours to form pastes.

The peak viscosity is also indicative of the strength of pastes that are formed from gelatinization during processing in food applications. It provides an indication of the viscous load likely to be met during mixing operations [39].

The values of the trough viscosity ranged between 90.75 – 103.75 RVU. There were no significant differences (p≤0.05) among samples ITS, OTO, BSA, OAB, CUO, and LAC. But these were significantly higher than samples OAK, BUI, BEL and OPE. According to [41], trough viscosity is the point at which viscosity attained its minimum value during heating or cooling processes. It measures the ability of pastes to withstand breakdown during cooling.

The breakdown viscosity of cooked pastes indicates their stabilities to shearing during cooking [42]. Starches with low breakdown or low pasting stability have weak cross-linking within the granules of the flours. The breakdown viscosities ranged between 9.83 – 14.17 RVU with BSA having the highest and BUI having the lowest values. There were no significant differences (p≤0.05) among samples BSA, LAC and OPE. But these were observed to be significantly higher than samples ITS, OTO, OAB, OAK, BUI, CUO and BEL. The values of breakdown viscosities obtained in this experiment were observed to
be far less than range 20.33 – 21.46 RVU reported by [27] for orange fleshed sweet potato and Bambara groundnut flour blends.

Table-3.0: Pasting properties of complementary food blends made from millet, African yam bean and tiger nut

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Peak viscosity (RVU)</th>
<th>Trough (RVU)</th>
<th>Breakdown (RVU)</th>
<th>Final viscosity (RVU)</th>
<th>Set back (RVU)</th>
<th>Peak time (minutes)</th>
<th>Pasting temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITS</td>
<td>113.63±1.59abc</td>
<td>101.21±2.33ab</td>
<td>12.42±0.82ab</td>
<td>162.08±1.71ab</td>
<td>60.92±0.71ab</td>
<td>5.33±0.09ab</td>
<td>84.83±0.04ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTO</td>
<td>111.96±1.71ab</td>
<td>99.88±0.00ab</td>
<td>12.08±0.24ab</td>
<td>161.17±2.12ab</td>
<td>61.25±0.18ab</td>
<td>5.33±0.00ab</td>
<td>86.04±0.56ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>111.58±0.65ab</td>
<td>101.54±0.53ab</td>
<td>14.17±0.12ab</td>
<td>165.63±1.83ab</td>
<td>64.08±3.00ab</td>
<td>5.33±0.00ab</td>
<td>86.00±0.56ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAB</td>
<td>114.46±0.06ab</td>
<td>98.17±0.24bc</td>
<td>12.25±0.12bc</td>
<td>160.79±0.06bc</td>
<td>61.33±0.18ab</td>
<td>5.28±0.02bc</td>
<td>88.10±2.83bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAK</td>
<td>105.5±1.30abc</td>
<td>94.50±1.24abc</td>
<td>10.96±0.06abc</td>
<td>149.33±1.18abc</td>
<td>54.75±0.06abc</td>
<td>5.36±0.05abc</td>
<td>88.05±0.00abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUI</td>
<td>100.71±0.41bc</td>
<td>90.88±0.41ab</td>
<td>9.83±0.00ab</td>
<td>142.29±1.71bc</td>
<td>51.42±1.30bc</td>
<td>5.33±0.00bc</td>
<td>88.00±0.00bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUO</td>
<td>111.58±1.06bc</td>
<td>99.08±0.71bc</td>
<td>12.50±0.35bc</td>
<td>160.50±2.12bc</td>
<td>61.42±1.41bc</td>
<td>5.40±0.00bc</td>
<td>87.67±0.60bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEL</td>
<td>100.58±0.12ab</td>
<td>91.46±0.06bc</td>
<td>12.25±0.12bc</td>
<td>159.71±0.18bc</td>
<td>31.50±2.06bc</td>
<td>5.31±0.01bc</td>
<td>84.88±0.23bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>117.17±5.77c</td>
<td>103.75±4.95c</td>
<td>13.42±0.82bc</td>
<td>171.17±2.16bc</td>
<td>67.42±5.54c</td>
<td>5.40±0.00c</td>
<td>85.17±0.53cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPE</td>
<td>110.46±0.06bc</td>
<td>90.75±0.24bc</td>
<td>13.92±0.82bc</td>
<td>165.25±0.12bc</td>
<td>62.46±0.41bc</td>
<td>5.27±0.02bc</td>
<td>86.26±0.22bc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values within a column with different superscripts are significantly different (p≤0.05)

The final viscosities of the complementary food blends ranged between 142.29 to 171.17 RVU with sample BUI having the lowest and sample LAC having the highest values. There were no significant differences (≤0.05) among sample LAC, OPE and BSA. But the values of these samples are significantly higher than samples ITS, OTO, OAB, CUO and BEL. Also significant differences does not exist among sample ITS, OTO, OAB, CUO, and BEL. The final viscosities obtained in this study are within the range (50 – 175 RVU) reported by [30] for sorghum, mung bean and orange fleshed sweet potato flour blends. The values of final viscosity documented in this study are higher than the range 102.71 – 132.00 RVU reported by [27] for orange fleshed sweet potato and Bambara groundnut flour blends. Final viscosity range (95.51 – 252.09 RVU) was reported by [39] for wheat and walnut flour blends. Final viscosity is the most commonly used parameter for the quality of a starch based sample. High final viscosity gives an indication of the strength of the flour to form a viscous paste or firm gel after cooking and cooling, and also paste or gel resistance to shear force during stirring [27, 30]. This implies that the sample LAC with final viscosity of 171.17 RVU will form a firm or viscous gel than all other samples.

The setback viscosity between 31.50 and 67.42 RVU; sample LAC had the highest and sample BEL had the lowest values. There were no significant differences (p≤0.05) among all samples investigated. The values of setback reported in this study are within the range 27 – 103 RVU documented by [30] for sorghum, mung beans and orange fleshed sweet potato flour blends. A range of 32.16 – 44.59 RVU was reported by [27] for orange fleshed sweet potato and Bambara groundnut flour blends. A high setback viscosity is an index of the magnitude of swelling power of a flour sample. It is used as an index in measuring the tendency to undergo retrogradation of sample after heating and cooling. A high setback viscosity implies lower retrogradation of products during cooling. The values of setback viscosities documented in this study implies that samples LAC and BSA flour blends have lower tendency to retrograde than all other samples.

The pasting or peak times ranged between 5.27 and 5.40 minutes with samples LAC and CUO having the highest value while sample OPE having the least value. Samples OPE and OAB are significantly (p≤0.05) lower than all other samples. The peak times recorded in this study are higher than the range 3.87 – 4.60 min. reported by [30] for sorghum, mung beans and orange fleshed sweet potato blends, and the range 2.93 – 5.46 min. reported by [43] for selected varieties of sorghum and soybeans [27]. Reported a range between 4.37 and 5.13 min for Orange fleshed sweet potato and Bambara groundnut flour blends. Peak time is defined as the total time taken by the sample to attain its peak viscosity. It is the minimum time required to achieve the cooking [44].

The pasting temperature denotes the minimum temperature needed to cook a flour sample. It is the temperature at which the viscosity of the sample starts to rise. The combination of pasting temperature and pasting time is a measure of the energy cost of the operation. The pasting temperature of the blends ranged from 84.83 to 88.10°C with sample ITS having the minimum and sample OAB having the highest value. Significant differences (p≤0.05) exist among the samples. Samples CUO, OAK, OAB, and BUI are significantly higher than other samples. There were no significant differences (p≤0.05) among samples BSA, ITS, OTO, and OPE. The values of pasting temperatures obtained in this experiment are within the range 84.40 – 85.20°C reported by [26] for plantain-cowpea based complementary food flours. The reported values of this study are higher than the range 80.21 – 81.52°C recorded by [27] for orange fleshed sweet potato and Bambara groundnut flour blends and 80.70 – 84.12 reported by [30] for sorghum, mung bean and orange fleshed sweet potato blends. The attainment of the pasting temperature is necessary in ensuring
swelling, gelatinization, and eventually gel formation during processing [39]. The results of pasting temperatures indicates that sample ITS will begin gelatinization at a lower temperature of about 84.83°C while sample OAB begins it gelatinization at a much higher temperature of about 88.10°C. But sample OPE will cook faster while samples CUO and LAC will take more times to cook than other samples. It can be deduced from the foregoing that sample CUO will need more energy and samples ITS and OPE less energy to cook than all other sample.

CONCLUSION
This study has demonstrated that complementary foods can be produced from blends of fermented pearl millet, African yam bean and tiger nuts all cheap and readily available crops in most developing countries. This study revealed that all samples will make viscous or firm gels and good products most especially sample with 71.67% pearl millet, 16.67% African yam bean and 11.67% tiger nut flour; the sample with 70% pearl millet, 15% African yam bean and 15% tiger nut and the sample composed of 70% pearl millet, 20% African yam bean and 10% tiger nut.
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