East African Scholars Journal of Education, Humanities and Literature

OPEN ACCESS

Abbreviated Key Title: East African Scholars J Edu Humanit Lit
ISSN: 2617-443X (Print) & ISSN: 2617-7250 (Online)
Published By East African Scholars Publisher, Kenya

Volume-8 | Issue-10 | Oct- 2025 | DOI: https://doi.org/10.36349/easjehl.2025.v08i10.005

Review Article

The Impact of Inclusive Governance Models on Strengthening Regional Integration in Africa: Insights from ASEAN, ECOWAS, and SADC

Emmauel Gborie[1]*, Professor William Hermann ARREY[2]

[1]Pan Africa University Institute of Governance Humanities and Social Science
[2]Chair of the Department of Peace and International Studies, Faculty of Social Science and International Relations - Protestant University of Central Africa

Article History
Received: 25.08.2025
Accepted: 14.10.2025
Published: 17.10.2025

Journal homepage: https://www.easpublisher.com

Quick Response Code

Abstract: Regional integration is widely acknowledged as a strategic pathway for Africa's socio-economic transformation, yet its progress has been hindered by weak institutional capacities, overlapping memberships, and limited citizen engagement. This article critically examines the role of inclusive governance as a catalyst for strengthening Africa's regional integration agenda, drawing comparative insights from the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN). The study argues that inclusive governance anchored in transparency, participation, and responsiveness offers a transformative approach that addresses persistent governance deficits within African Regional Economic Communities (RECs), particularly ECOWAS and SADC. ASEAN's "consultative and consensus" model, despite its limitations, has demonstrated how dialogue, inclusivity, and flexible institutional arrangements can sustain cooperation among diverse states. Its emphasis on multi-stakeholder consultations, consensus-based decision-making, and adaptive network governance underscores the importance of building legitimacy and trust across member states. By contrast, African RECs often face top-down, elite-driven processes that marginalize citizens, hinder accountability, and slow down implementation of integration commitments. The analysis reveals that embedding inclusivity within Africa's integration frameworks can significantly enhance legitimacy and collective ownership, thereby improving compliance, resource mobilization, and policy effectiveness. Practical measures such as strengthening institutional coordination, leveraging digital governance tools, and creating participatory platforms for youth, women, and marginalized groups are essential. Moreover, alignment of governance reforms with the African Union's Agenda 2063 and the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) ensures sustainability and coherence with continental priorities. Ultimately, inclusive governance transforms regional integration from a state-centric, elite-driven project into a citizen-centered, accountable, and resilient process. By fostering trust, legitimacy, and collective solidarity, Africa's RECs can overcome structural challenges and accelerate progress toward a united, prosperous, and people-driven continent. The ASEAN experience demonstrates that while integration is complex, inclusive governance provides a viable blueprint for Africa to strengthen its regional institutions and achieve sustainable development outcomes.

Keywords: Inclusive Governance, Regional Integration, ASEAN, ECOWAS, SADC, Agenda 2063, AfCFTA.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Regional integration is a strategic imperative for Africa's socio-economic transformation and in an increasingly interconnected and competitive global landscape, regional integration has emerged as a strategic pathway for states to strengthen economic resilience, consolidate political stability, and enhance collective bargaining power. For Africa, with its vast natural resources, rapidly growing population, and expanding markets, regional integration is not simply an aspiration

***Corresponding Author:** Emmauel Gborie
Pan Africa University Institute of Governance Humanities and Social Science

but a necessity for sustainable development and global competitiveness. The African Union (AU), working in close partnership with its Regional Economic Communities (RECs) such as the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) and the Southern African Development Community (SADC), has articulated ambitious frameworks to advance this agenda. Chief among these are Agenda 2063, the continent's fifty-year vision for structural transformation, and the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA), which aspires to create a unified market of over 1.4 billion people.

Yet, despite these milestones, Africa's integration project continues to face significant challenges. Weak institutional capacities within RECs hinder effective implementation, while overlapping regional memberships generate policy incoherence and duplication of efforts. In many cases, political will among member states remains limited, undermining the enforcement of collective commitments. Furthermore, insufficient participation of citizens in governance processes has rendered regional integration an elite-driven endeavor, often disconnected from the everyday realities of ordinary Africans. These structural and governance-related constraints have slowed down progress, raising critical questions about the sustainability and inclusiveness of Africa's integration trajectory.

In this context, inclusive governance emerges as a pivotal lever for rethinking and revitalizing Africa's integration mechanisms. Inclusive governance anchored in transparency, accountability, equity, and participation ensures that integration efforts are not confined to state-centric negotiations but reflect the interests, voices, and aspirations of diverse stakeholders, including marginalized groups, civil society, and the private sector. By embedding inclusivity, integration can foster legitimacy, strengthen accountability, and mobilize collective ownership of the process.

The experience of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) offers valuable lessons in this regard. ASEAN has managed to sustain regional cooperation for decades despite profound diversity in political systems, economic structures, and historical contexts. Its consensus-based, consultative governance model the so-called "ASEAN Way" has provided a framework for cooperation that balances national sovereignty with regional solidarity. While not without limitations, ASEAN's governance culture underscores the importance of dialogue, mutual trust, and inclusive decision-making in advancing regional integration.

This article therefore explores the role of inclusive governance model in strengthening Africa's regional integration agenda, using a comparative analysis with ASEAN. It argues that inclusive governance provides not only a corrective to Africa's institutional and political constraints but also a transformative approach that can make integration more citizen-centered, legitimate, and sustainable. By examining pathways for embedding inclusivity within RECs and AU structures, the study highlights how Africa can draw inspiration from ASEAN while tailoring reforms to its unique political, social, and economic realities.

2.0 Importance of Inclusive Governance in Regional Integration

Inclusive governance, characterized by the principles of transparency, participation, and responsiveness, is increasingly recognized as fundamental to the legitimacy and effectiveness of regional integration in Africa. Yet, despite the rhetorical prominence of these values, many regional bodies still face shortcomings in operationalizing them a reality that perpetuates governance deficits, diminishes citizen trust, and undermines transformative integration outcomes.

Transparency is a cornerstone of effective and inclusive governance, particularly within Africa's regional integration architecture. By ensuring that information on institutional decisions, budgetary allocations, and progress assessments is readily available and accessible, transparency fosters accountability and strengthens trust among member states and citizens alike. When stakeholders ranging from policymakers and civil society to the private sector and marginalized communities have access to accurate and timely information, they are better positioned to evaluate institutional performance, engage meaningfully in policy processes, and hold leaders accountable for their commitments (Schulz, 2023). Beyond accountability, transparency also plays a vital role in legitimizing integration initiatives. Institutions such as the African Union and Regional Economic Communities (RECs) derive their authority not only from formal treaties and protocols but also from the confidence and support of the populations they serve. Public disclosure of decisions and integration milestones enhances this legitimacy by demonstrating openness, reducing suspicion of elite-driven agendas, and reinforcing a sense of collective ownership (Nabudere, 2011). In this way, transparency directly contributes to bridging the gap between continental institutions and African citizens, thereby strengthening the democratic foundations of integration.

Participation, in theory, should democratize policy making by bringing a broad spectrum of voices including those of women, youth, and marginalized groups into regional decision-making processes. Empirical evidence, however, suggests that such participatory promises are not always matched by outcomes. Token representation, co-optation of civil society, and elite-driven forums remain commonplace, often reducing participation to a mere procedural checkbox (Oduro, 2019). Where inclusivity is genuinely enacted, such as in certain ECOWAS youth forums or the SADC Gender and Development Protocol's adoption process, regional policies demonstrate greater relevance and public acceptance, supporting the thesis that ownership by diverse actors is essential for successful implementation (Gebrewold, 2017). Still, the persistence of patriarchal structures and limited outreach mechanisms means that policy is too often formulated and executed by the same small circle of political and technocratic elites, hampering transformative progress.

Responsiveness is the final pillar enabling institutions to adapt policies and strategies to emerging situations, member state needs, and citizen feedback. However, regional bodies in Africa often display significant institutional inertia, with bureaucratic layers and political compromises stalling reform and timely adjustment (Murithi, 2013). The rare cases where rapid response mechanisms have been established such as ECOWAS's peace and security deployments underscore the value of organizational agility and the capacity for real-time adaptation. Yet, these remain exceptions rather than the rule. The challenge for most African regional organizations is to move from reactive to proactive responsiveness, engaging in anticipatory governance that continuously recalibrates integration goals as contextual realities change.

The analytical literature further underscores that the synergy of transparency, participation, and responsiveness, when fully realized, yields more than just enhanced legitimacy: it leads to substantive improvements in policy coherence and institutional capacity. Integration efforts grounded in inclusivity are more resilient to political shocks, have greater resource mobilization potential, and foster deeper citizen engagement (Schulz, 2023). In contrast, continued deficits in these areas perpetuate a cycle of weak implementation, fractured public support, and ultimately, stalled or superficial regionalization. While Africa's regional institutions increasingly espouse the rhetoric of inclusive governance, progress remains uneven and often insufficient in practice. Transforming these principles from aspirational norms to lived realities demands not only institutional reform, but also a cultural shift toward open, dialogic, and adaptive governance. The road ahead requires concerted efforts to make transparency comprehensive, participation meaningful, and responsiveness embedded at every level of regional decision-making, if African integration is to fulfill its promise of collective prosperity and sustainable development.

3.0 Comparative Insights from ASEAN

ASEAN's success in regional integration provides a compelling example of how inclusive governance can effectively manage diversity and foster cooperation among heterogeneous member states. Central to ASEAN's approach is a governance system built on multi-stakeholder consultations, consensus-based decision-making, flexibility through network governance, and carefully cultivated norms of consultation, non-interference, and mutual accommodation. These elements collectively contribute to ASEAN's resilience, legitimacy, and sustained cohesion despite its member states' varying political systems, economic capacities, and cultural backgrounds.

Multi-stakeholder consultations are a cornerstone of ASEAN's governance, incorporating both state and non-state actors into the regional dialogue. This inclusive engagement ensures that a broader spectrum of interests and perspectives informs policymaking, beyond the narrow scope of governmental elites. Such consultations enhance transparency and contribute to more nuanced and acceptable policy outcomes, reflecting the complex realities and priorities of member states (Tow, 2011). By involving diverse voices, ASEAN mitigates potential conflicts and cultivates a shared ownership of regional initiatives, which is critical for long-term integration success.

Consensus-based decision-making is another defining characteristic of ASEAN's governance framework. Unlike majority voting typical in many other regional organizations, ASEAN relies on unanimity or consensus to approve decisions. This approach respects the sovereignty and diverse interests of member states, encouraging compromise and mutual accommodation (Acharya, 2001). Though consensus can slow down the decision-making process, it builds trust among members by ensuring that no state feels marginalized or coerced, thereby strengthening institutional legitimacy and cooperation. This deliberate balance of power reflects ASEAN's preference for soft institutionalization, allowing for gradual progress rather than abrupt transformations.

Flexibility and adaptability are facilitated through ASEAN's network governance, characterized by informal structures and fluid interactions. Rather than rigid institutional mandates, ASEAN operates through dynamic relationships among various committees, working groups, and informal channels, enabling rapid communication and problem-solving (Ba, 2008). This network governance permits ASEAN to respond pragmatically to changing political and economic conditions and accommodates the heterogeneity of member states. The resulting agility contrasts with more hierarchical and bureaucratic regional organizations, promoting resilience in the face of external shocks and internal disagreements.

Underlying ASEAN's governance are normative principles consultation, non-interference, and mutual accommodation that create a normative culture vital for cohesion. These norms reinforce respect for sovereignty and discourage confrontational approaches. The "ASEAN Way" thus fosters an environment where dialogue and incremental change predominate over legalistic enforcement or coercion (Beeson, 2009). This cultural dimension is key to maintaining peace and stability while allowing integration to progress on economic, security, and social fronts.

While ASEAN's model has been criticized for slow decision-making and accountability gaps, these challenges are often viewed as trade-offs essential to preserving member state consensus and preventing fragmentation. The space created for dialogue and incremental progress has enabled ASEAN to build sustained cooperation and confidence over time, an experience that offers valuable lessons for Africa's regional integration efforts. In particular, ASEAN's balance between inclusivity, flexibility, and consensus may guide African regional bodies seeking to reconcile political diversity with the pursuit of deeper cooperation.

ASEAN's inclusive governance approach rooted in multi-stakeholder consultations, consensus decision-making, network governance, and shared norms facilitates trust, legitimacy, and resilience. Its soft institutionalization and emphasis on gradualism provide a pragmatic integration pathway that effectively manages diversity and fosters cooperation. African regional organizations can draw from ASEAN's experience to cultivate governance frameworks that are similarly inclusive and adaptive, thereby enhancing their integration prospects amid complex heterogeneity.

4.0 Challenges in African Regional Integration Governance

ECOWAS and SADC face a multitude of persistent challenges that undermine their institutional effectiveness and impede regional integration progress. These challenges span institutional, political, economic, and social dimensions, creating complex hurdles to achieving deeper cooperation and sustainable development.

Institutional capacity weaknesses are fundamental barriers to effective integration. Many ECOWAS and SADC institutions suffer from limited financial resources, inadequate skilled personnel, and deficient infrastructure. Such weaknesses constrain their ability to coordinate, implement, and monitor regional programs effectively. For instance, ECOWAS's strategic assessments point to weak governance structures, process inefficiencies, poor planning, weak automation, and siloed operations that inhibit disciplined execution of integration initiatives (Turner & Fink, 2013). SADC, too, has confronted challenges in transforming its institutional framework from the Southern African Development Coordination Conference (SADCC) to a more cohesive regional community, marked by fragmented sectoral coordination and delayed reforms (SADC Secretariat, 2021).

Overlapping memberships complicate integration efforts by spreading scarce financial and human resources thinly across multiple RECs. Many states belong simultaneously to ECOWAS, WAEMU, or COMESA for instance, complicating harmonization of policies such as customs unions and tariffs due to different rules and competing obligations. This multiplicity leads to coordination struggles, duplication, and diluted political commitment. Member states face the dilemma of choosing between competing trade regimes, restricting progress toward unified economic policies (Zondi, 2015).

Political instability and state reluctance to cede sovereignty significantly undermine REC effectiveness. Frequent governance crises, civil conflicts, and unstable political environments disrupt continuity of integration programs. Moreover, member states often prioritize national sovereignty over supranational mandates, limiting the scope and enforcement of regional decisions. In SADC, this tension manifested starkly when the SADC Tribunal's powers were curtailed following politically sensitive rulings, weakening legal accountability mechanisms in favor of maintaining state sovereignty (Oduro, 2019). Similarly, ECOWAS struggles with uneven political will among members to implement protocols, delaying agreements like the single currency (the Eco) and free movement protocols (Turner & Fink, 2013).

Limited citizen engagement and transparency further hamper legitimacy and compliance. Regional decision-making is often top-down and opaque, disconnecting RECs from the populations they aim to serve. This lack of inclusive governance diminishes trust and accountability, reducing public pressure on governments to implement regional goals. Border controls and immigration systems in ECOWAS countries, for example, remain under-resourced and prone to informal practices that undermine protocols (Vanheukelom *et al*., 2013). In SADC, political negotiation rather than legal enforcement often guides interventions, which although culturally congruent, can dilute institutional effectiveness and citizen confidence (Breytenbach, 2000).

Furthermore, overly ambitious targets coupled with implementation delays characterize REC integration. SADC's Trade Protocol set ambitious goals such as 85 percent tariff reduction by 2008, customs union by 2010, and a single currency by 2018, yet these milestones have been significantly postponed due to coordination failures and lack of political commitment (Bell, 2017). ECOWAS faces similar frustrations with phased implementation plans frequently slipping or stalling.

ECOWAS and SADC confront multifaceted and interlinked challenges: weak institutional capacity, overlapping memberships causing resource strain, political instability and sovereignty concerns, and limited citizen engagement undermining legitimacy. These challenges contribute to fragmented implementation of integration agreements, slow infrastructural development, and inadequate policy harmonization. Overcoming these barriers requires enhanced institutional reforms, political commitment to shared sovereignty, improved transparency and participation, and realistic goal-setting aligned with capacities.

5.0 Role of Inclusive Governance in African RECs

Applying inclusive governance principles within African Regional Economic Communities (RECs) holds significant promise for overcoming existing governance deficits and advancing regional integration. Firstly, enhancing transparency through digital governance tools, or GovTech, can revolutionize accessibility to decision-making processes. By leveraging digital platforms, RECs can provide real-time information on policies, budgets, and institutional actions, building trust among member states and citizens alike. The African Peer Review Mechanism (APRM) is already advancing in this regard by developing governance early warning tools and data-driven monitoring platforms that promote transparency and accountability in alignment with Agenda 2063 (APRM, 2025).

Secondly, strengthening participation involves broadening stakeholder involvement beyond political elites to include marginalized groups such as youth and women. Increased inclusion ensures policies more accurately reflect the socio-economic realities across member states, fostering a sense of ownership critical for sustainable integration. Countries like Kenya have institutionalized public participation in governance, enhancing citizens' roles in policymaking and scrutiny (GGA, 2023). Similarly, continental initiatives emphasize empowering youth and women through capacity-building and targeted engagement, recognizing their vital contributions for inclusive development (Brookings, 2022).

Thirdly, improving responsiveness entails developing regional bodies' capacity to adapt dynamically to emerging development challenges and security concerns. This requires flexible policy frameworks and mechanisms for regular feedback from diverse local contexts. Responsive governance facilitates timely adjustments in regional integration strategies, enhancing relevance and effectiveness. The APRM's 2025–2028 Strategic Plan highlights the use of strategic communication and knowledge production to sustain political momentum and align member state actions with continental goals (APRM, 2025).

Collectively, these reforms address governance deficits by promoting transparency, inclusivity, and adaptability. They encourage collective action among states and stakeholders by building trust and legitimacy, thereby smoothing implementation pathways for integration agreements. Enhancing digital governance capacities, institutionalizing broad participation, and enabling agile policy responses are crucial for fostering stronger regional solidarity. Such inclusive governance frameworks create an environment where African RECs can overcome fragmentation and political reluctance, positioning integration processes for greater success and deeper impact.

6.0 Policy Implications and Recommendations

For Africa's regional integration to yield meaningful, sustainable, and people-centered outcomes, governance frameworks must transcend state-centric models and deliberately embed inclusivity at every stage. Inclusive governance ensures that the voices of citizens, civil society, marginalized groups, and the private sector complement intergovernmental negotiations. To this end, the following recommendations are advanced:

1. **Institutional Strengthening and Harmonization of Regional Economic Communities (RECs):** RECs remain the building blocks of the African Union's integration project, yet their overlapping mandates and fragmented legal frameworks weaken efficiency. A deliberate strengthening of RECs through clearer mandates, harmonized policies, and functional specialization is critical. This will reduce duplication of efforts, ensure resource optimization, and enhance their ability to deliver as "laboratories of integration." Establishing institutional linkages and joint coordination frameworks among RECs would ensure synergy and coherence with the AU Commission and continental organs.
2. **Adoption of Digital Governance Tools for Transparency and Citizen Engagement:** In an increasingly digital era, leveraging information and communication technologies (ICTs) can democratize regional integration processes. The adoption of e-platforms for real-time monitoring, transparent dissemination of policy updates, and structured citizen feedback channels would allow the public to actively track integration milestones. Digital dashboards that provide regular updates on the progress of the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA) or Agenda 2063 flagship projects could also foster accountability, trust, and legitimacy. By bridging the gap between institutions and citizens, digitalization becomes a tool for participatory governance.
3. **Creation of Participatory Platforms for Marginalized and Underrepresented Groups:** Regional integration often risks being elite-driven, sidelining youth, women, persons with disabilities, informal sector workers, and rural populations. Establishing inclusive consultation platforms such as regional citizen assemblies, thematic forums, and advocacy councils can amplify the perspectives of these groups in shaping policies. Such participatory spaces would not only empower marginalized communities but also align integration initiatives with grassroots realities, thereby enhancing social ownership of the process.

4. **Promotion of Consensus-Building Practices Inspired by ASEAN:** Africa can draw valuable lessons from ASEAN's "consultative and consensus" model, which emphasizes dialogue, negotiation, and collective agreement. Encouraging African leaders to adopt consultative approaches in decision-making can reduce political tensions, foster mutual trust, and strengthen solidarity across diverse member states. Such practices could be institutionalized through mediation mechanisms, joint task forces, and issue-based working groups where consensus becomes the foundation of collective action.
5. **Alignment of Governance Reforms with AU Agenda 2063 and AfCFTA Protocols:** To ensure political commitment and long-term sustainability, inclusive governance reforms must be directly tied to the AU's Agenda 2063 and AfCFTA's operational frameworks. Integrating inclusivity benchmarks into national development plans and regional strategies will ensure resource allocation, political prioritization, and measurable outcomes. This alignment guarantees that inclusive governance is not treated as a peripheral aspiration but as a binding principle guiding Africa's transformation agenda.

Embedding inclusive governance into Africa's regional integration architecture requires a multi-dimensional approach strengthening institutions, harnessing technology, empowering marginalized voices, fostering consensus, and aligning reforms with continental blueprints. Such a model ensures that regional integration is not only state-driven but also citizen-centered, thereby increasing legitimacy, ownership, and sustainability. Ultimately, inclusive governance will serve as both the foundation and the accelerator for realizing the aspirations of a united, prosperous, and people-driven Africa.

7.0 CONCLUSION

Inclusive governance is a critical framework that holds transformative potential for addressing the structural and operational challenges hindering Africa's regional integration. Drawing lessons from ASEAN's successful regional governance approach, African Regional Economic Communities (RECs) have an opportunity to fundamentally enhance their legitimacy, cooperation, and effectiveness by deliberately embedding transparency, participation, and responsiveness into their institutional fabric. Such governance reforms are not merely technical improvements but strategic enablers essential to unlocking Africa's integration potential, accelerating economic development, and achieving sustainable peace and political stability in alignment with continental aspirations such as Agenda 2063 and the African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA).

The symbiotic enhancement of transparency, participation, and responsiveness addresses pervasive governance deficits in African RECs, such as weak institutions, overlapping memberships, political instability, and limited citizen engagement. Integration is often stalled due to fragmented institutional mandates, sovereignty concerns, and legitimacy gaps. Inclusive governance reforms can encourage collective action by cultivating trust, clarifying roles, and reinforcing accountability. These reforms align seamlessly with continental policy frameworks that emphasize good governance as a cornerstone of regional development and cohesion.

Ultimately, embedding inclusive governance transforms regional integration from a technocratic project dominated by elites into a vibrant, accountable, and citizen-centred process. It nurtures legitimacy that transcends state-centric diplomacy, fostering regional solidarity based on shared values and collective well-being. African RECs adopting these principles will be better positioned to harness the continent's abundant human and economic resources, accelerate market integration, and underpin socio-political stability. ASEAN's journey, with its soft institutionalization, consensus-driven decision-making, and broad stakeholder engagement, offers a proven governance blueprint that is adaptable to Africa's unique diversity and challenges.

Conclusively, inclusive governance model is a pivotal enabler of sustainable regional integration. By strengthening transparency, broadening participation, and enhancing responsiveness, African RECs can overcome persistent constraints and bodies regional communities into effective engines of economic growth, political stability, and social cohesion. The ASEAN model's value lies not in replicating institutional forms but in inculcating governance cultures centered on dialogue, trust, and adaptability principles that African regional integration efforts would do well to adopt as they navigate complex and dynamic regional futures.

REFERENCES

- Acharya, A. (2001). *Constructing a Security Community in Southeast Asia: ASEAN and the Problem of Regional Order.* Routledge.
- African Peer Review Mechanism (APRM). (2025). *Strategic Plan 2025–2028: Strengthening Governance and Accountability in Africa.* African Union Commission.
- Ba, A. D. (2008). *(Re)Negotiating East and Southeast Asia: Region, Regionalism, and the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN).* Stanford University Press.
- Beeson, M. (2009). *Institutional Change in Regional Governance: The ASEAN Way and the Evolution of East Asian Regionalism. Asian Survey*, 49(6), 1042–1063. https://doi.org/10.1525/as.2009.49.6.1042

- Bell, J. (2017). *Regional Integration in Southern Africa: Progress and Prospects.* SADC Policy Series.
- Breytenbach, W. (2000). *Democratic Governance and Political Integration in SADC. African Journal of Political Science*, 5(1), 1–17.
- Brookings Institution. (2022). *Youth and Women in Africa's Development: Empowerment and Policy Inclusion in the Context of Agenda 2063.* Brookings Africa Growth Initiative.
- Gebrewold, B. (2017). *African Regional Security: Cooperation and Collaboration in Peace and Security.* Routledge.
- Good Governance Africa (GGA). (2023). *Public Participation and Governance Reforms in Kenya: Lessons for Regional Integration.* GGA Policy Brief.
- Murithi, T. (2013). *The African Union: Pan-Africanism, Peacebuilding, and Development.* Ashgate.
- Nabudere, D. W. (2011). *Afrikology, Philosophy and Wholeness: An Epistemology.* Africa Institute of South Africa.
- Oduro, F. (2019). *Democratic Governance, Accountability, and Regional Integration in Africa. Journal of African Integration Studies*, 11(3), 45–68.
- SADC Secretariat. (2021). *Review of Regional Integration Progress and Challenges in Southern Africa.* Southern African Development Community.
- Schulz, N. (2023). *Transparency and Accountability in African Regional Organizations: Between Rhetoric and Reality. African Governance Review*, 14(2), 87–106.
- Tow, W. T. (2011). *The "ASEAN Way" and Regional Order: Theoretical and Practical Perspectives.* In M. Beeson & R. Stubbs (Eds.), *Routledge Handbook of Asian Regionalism* (pp. 71–83). Routledge.
- Turner, M., & Fink, C. (2013). *Regional Economic Integration and Institutional Effectiveness: The ECOWAS Experience. Journal of African Studies and Development*, 5(8), 121–136.
- Vanheukelom, J., Byiers, B., Bilal, S., & Woolfrey, S. (2013). *The Political Economy of Regional Integration in Africa: The Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS).* European Centre for Development Policy Management (ECDPM).
- Zondi, S. (2015). *Overlapping Memberships and Regional Integration in Africa: Challenges for Policy Coherence. Africa Insight*, 45(1), 23–38.

Cite This Article: Emmauel Gborie & William Hermann ARREY (2025). The Impact of Inclusive Governance Models on Strengthening Regional Integration in Africa: Insights from ASEAN, ECOWAS, and SADC. *East African Scholars J Edu Humanit Lit, 8*(10), 569-575.