

Original Research Article

The Impact of Internalized HIV Stigma on Retention in Care among Individuals Living with HIV at Chifundo Clinic in Lusaka District, Zambia

Mr. Paul Kasinde^{1*}, Dr. John Mwaba², Dr. Norah Ndambo³, Dr. Thanthwe Mangani³, Mr. Innocent Mwaba³, Mr. Bryson Musonda³, Mr. Vincent Kapungu³, Mr. Andrew Phiri³, Ms. Lackeby Kawanga³, Ms. Solthin Jere³

¹School of Postgraduate Studies, University of Lusaka, Lusaka, Zambia

²Department of Public Health, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Lusaka, Zambia

³School of Postgraduate Studies, University of Lusaka, Lusaka, Zambia

Article History

Received: 16.04.2025

Accepted: 22.05.2025

Published: 17.06.2025

Journal homepage:

<https://www.easpublisher.com>

Quick Response Code

Abstract: *Introduction:* Internalized HIV stigma remains a significant barrier to successful care retention for people living with HIV (PLHIV). Stigma has been felt as shame, guilt, and self-blame, dampening adherence to antiretroviral therapy (ART) and clinic attendance. *Aim:* The aim of this study was to explore the impact of internalized HIV stigma on care retention among individuals living with HIV at Chifundo Clinic in Lusaka District, Zambia. *Methods:* Cross-sectional analytical study with 384 participants aged 18 years and above having been in care for more than six months. Data were collected via an online Kobo Toolbox to measure internalized stigma, demographic variables, and care retention. Logistic regression analysis was used in testing the association between internalized stigma and clinic visitation. *Results:* Over 75% of the participants had once missed clinic a visit, of whom 5% had previously been declared as no longer in care (NLIC). Internalized stigma measures showed that 26% of the participants very often self-shamed due to HIV status and 31% very often felt guilty. Participants who self-blamed were 70% more likely to miss a clinic visit. Those who feared disclosing their status were 8 times likely to miss a clinic visit. *Conclusion:* Internalized HIV stigma is a key driver of retention in care among PLHIV at Chifundo Clinic. Stigma reduction is essential using combined, culture-tailored interventions and psychosocial support. Stigma reduction should be policymakers' and healthcare providers' number one priority to increase ART adherence, retention, and yield better health outcomes for PLHIV in Zambia.

Keywords: Care Retention, People Living with HIV (PLHIV), Internalized HIV Stigma, Antiretroviral Therapy (ART).

Copyright © 2025 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution **4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)** which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

As per the reports presented by UNAIDS, in the year 2021, the total number of HIV infected individuals was estimated to be around 38 million globally. The fight against HIV becomes even more difficult in light of the fact that an individual has to also face the issues of stigmatization and discrimination. The fight against the stigma is important for the antiretroviral treatment at the population level to be effective (Sibanda, 2016).

Due to the stigma attached, a good number of people delay in getting treatment or even decide to stop using their medication. This set of circumstances enhances the pain, depression, and anti-social behaviors and lowers a person's standard of life while knowledgeable social traits and individual genetics

impact how stigma is perceived (R Hargreaves *et al.*, 2018).

Despite advances in treatment options for people living with HIV, stigma persists in presenting perhaps the most considerable challenges toward pursuing better health outcomes. When referring to internalized stigma, this is a form where individuals accept negative perceptions about themselves on issues related to the infection (UNAIDS, 2021). Eventually, these assumptions of thought blossom into feelings of shame or even fear of rejection, which adversely impacts one's self-esteem.

Chifundo Clinic, which is based in Lusaka's Chaisa Compound and has provided services to over 9,500 clients since the day of its inauguration, which was

back in 2011. However, in about 2024, under the AHF data audits, it was reported that approximately 1,197 clients were no longer in care, which included 1,149 patients who were lost to follow up (LTFU).

Owing to the issues surrounding internalized HIV stigma, the focus of this study lies in determining how that stigma affects a person's willingness to seek or continue further treatment even when on ART in Chifundo Clinic. The study focused on measuring the internalized stigma and its association with retention into care; Evaluation of available healthcare system regarding alleviation of such issues.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Study Design

This study used a cross-sectional analytical design, which estimates relationships between variables at a single time point (Polit & Beck, 2021).

Study Population

The population included adults over 18, male or female, HIV positive, sexually active, attending Chifundo Clinic, diagnosed with HIV, and on treatment for at least six months. Exclusion criteria were severe mental retardation affecting consent or data collection and presence of illness on assessment day.

Sample Size

Sample size was calculated using a 95% confidence level, 50% anticipated prevalence, and 5% margin of error to maximize sample size. Using the formula: $n = Z^2 PQ/d^2$, Where Z was the confidence level, P was the prevalence, Q is 100-P and d was the margin of error.

Sampling Strategy

Systematic random sampling was used to recruit participants who made visits to Chifundo Clinic on scheduled data collection visits.

Data Collection

Data were collected via an online Kobo Tool Box questionnaire derived from validated tools measuring sociodemographic variables, internalized HIV stigma, retention in care, and health system evaluation.

Data Analysis

Data were analysed using STATA 14. After cleaning, descriptive statistics summarized participant characteristics and stigma and retention levels. Logistic regression assessed associations between internalized stigma and retention in care, reporting adjusted odds ratios. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Table 1: Social and demographic information

Age groups	Number	Percent (%)
18-24 years	47	12%
25- 34 years	119	31%
35- 49 years	149	39%
50 + Years	69	18%
Total	384	100%
Gender	Number	Percent (%)
Male	176	46%
Female	208	54%
Total	384	100%
Level of education	Number	Percent (%)
No formal education	10	5%
Primary	164	43%
Secondary	144	37%
Tertiary	56	15%
Total	384	100%
Marital Status	Number	Percent (%)
Single	115	30%
Married	141	37%
Divorced/separated	96	25%
Widowed	32	8%
Total	384	100%
Have family support	Number	Percent (%)
Yes	151	39%
No	233	61%
Total	384	100%

Have strong social support network	Number	Percent (%)
Yes	139	36%
No	245	64%
Total	384	100%

According to Table 1's findings, all respondents were at least 18 years old. Of the participants, the majority were between the ages of 25 and 49, which represents the working population. Of these, 12% were between the ages of 18 and 24, 31% were between the ages of 25 and 34, 39% were between the ages of 35 and 49, and 18% were over the age of 50. The higher proportion of females may have been explained by the gender ratio, which was 46% male and 54% female due to the disproportionate impact of HIV stigma. The respondents' educational backgrounds ranged from poor to moderate: 43% had completed primary school, 37%

had completed secondary school, 15% had completed tertiary education, and 5% had no formal schooling at all. The numbers for marital status suggest the impact HIV have on a family's structure. 37% were married, 25% reported being divorced or separated, 8% were widowed, and 30% reported being single. There is a vacuum in critical mental health support, as just 39% of respondents said they received family help and 61% said they did not. With 36% having reliable friends or community support and 64% not having such networks, social support was scarce, suggesting that many people felt alone and overburdened by HIV-related difficulties.

Table 2: Levels of internalized HIV stigma

Years since diagnosed with HIV	Number	Percent (%)
6 months – 1 year	17	4%
2 - 4years	168	44%
5 + years	199	52%
Total	384	100%
Disclosed your HIV status	Number	Percent (%)
No	42	11%
Yes	342	89%
Total	384	100%
Often feel ashamed of being HIV positive	Number	Percent (%)
Never	57	15%
Rarely	83	22%
Sometimes	99	26%
Often	101	26%
Always	44	11%
Total	384	100%
Often blame yourself for being HIV positive	Number	Percent (%)
Never	53	14%
Rarely	77	20%
Sometimes	102	26%
Often	110	29%
Always	42	11%
Total	384	100%
Often fear disclosing your HIV status to others	Number	Percent (%)
Never	56	15%
Rarely	85	22%
Sometimes	97	25%
Often	106	28%
Always	40	10%
Total	384	100%
Often feel socially isolated due to your HIV status	Number	Percent (%)
Never	52	14%
Rarely	78	20%
Sometimes	97	25%
Often	118	31%
Always	39	10%
Total	384	100%
On a scale of 1 to 5, range your self-esteem	Number	Percent (%)
1. Very low	91	24%

2.	Low	100	26%
3.	Average	55	14%
4.	High	69	18%
5.	Very high	69	18%
Total		384	100%

According to the data in Table 2, 4% of respondents were diagnosed with HIV between 6 months and a year before the interview, 44% 2 to 4 years prior, and 52% more than 5 years ago. These results show that most participants are long-term survivors of the disease. 89% disclosed their status and 11% did not, which suggests concealment due to stigma. Shame experiences differ, for example: 15% say they never felt shame; 22% rarely, 26% sometimes, 26% often, and 11% always, indicating different shame experiences. Blame was widespread as only 14% reported not blaming

themselves, 20% reported doing so rarely, 26% some, 29% most, and 11% always blaming themselves. Disclosure fear was also reported: 15% never feared it, 22% claimed to seldom, 25% at some point, 28% often, and 10% always feared revealing their status. Many reported social isolations where only 14% never felt isolated, 20% rarely, 25% sometimes, 31% often, and 10% always. Finally, self-reported metrics show low self-esteem: 24% very low, 26% low, 14% average, and only 18% high or very high.

Table 3: Retention in care

Have missed an appointment since started ART	Number	Percent (%)
Yes	289	75%
No	95	25%
Total	384	100%
Times missed appointments in past 12 months	Number	Percent (%)
0	253	66%
1-2	116	30%
3+	16	4%
Total	384	100%
Reason for missing appointment (those that missed)	Number	Percent (%)
Forgot	46	35%
Felt healthy and did not need to go	1	1%
Difficulty getting transportation	24	18%
Conflicting work or family responsibilities	31	23%
Felt discriminated against by staff	3	2%
Other reason	28	21%
Total	132	100%
Ever been declared no longer in care (NLIC)	Number	Percent (%)
Yes	21	5%
No	363	95%
Total	384	100%
Reason declared no longer in care (NLIC)	Number	Percent (%)
Transferred out	11	52%
Lost to follow up (LTFU)	4	19%
Stopped taking drugs	2	10%
Other reason	4	19%
Total	21	100%
Often attending appointments after restarting treatment	Number	Percent (%)
Never	0	0%
Rarely	0	0%
Sometimes	1	5%
Usually	4	19%
Always	16	76%
Total	21	100%

From Table 3, it appears that 75% of patients have experienced default on an appointment since starting ART, showing elevated barrier to access. During the past year, 66% never defaulted, 30% defaulted 1-2

times, and 4% defaulted three or more times, and there is proof of variable attendance among some patients. Forgetfulness was the most frequent reason for not attending (35%), then family and job responsibilities

(23%) and lack of transport (18%), and underlines the impact of routine activities and transport problems. Around 5% were formerly declared no longer in care (NLIC), notably because of transfers (52%) and loss to follow-up (19%), showing care discontinuity and

reengagement. Encouragingly, 76% of the participants who restarted treatment after becoming NLIC always attended appointments, and 19% usually did so, indicating increased attendance after being reintroduced to care.

Table 4: Evaluation of healthcare system in reducing HIV stigma

Clinic hours convenient	Number	Percent (%)
Not at all convenient	5	1%
Slightly convenient	11	4%
Moderately convenient	74	19%
Very convenient	212	55%
Extremely convenient	82	21%
Total	384	100%
Client relationship with healthcare providers	Number	Percent (%)
Very poor	0	0%
Poor	0	0%
Neutral	88	22%
Good	162	43%
Very good	134	35%
Total	384	100%
Clinic efforts to reduce HIV stigma	Number	Percent (%)
Not at all	0	0%
A little	17	5%
Somewhat	74	19%
A lot	192	50%
A great deal	101	26%
Total	384	100%
Quality of services at the clinic	Number	Percent (%)
Very poor	0	0%
Poor	7	2%
Average	23	6%
Good	159	41%
Excellent	195	51%
Total	384	100%
Clinic easily accessible	Number	Percent (%)
Not at all accessible	0	0%
Slightly accessible	24	6%
Moderately accessible	64	17%
Very accessible	151	39%
Extremely accessible	145	38%
Total	384	100%

Table 4 findings were that 76% of the participants viewed clinic hours as convenient (55% very, 21% extremely) and 24% were a bit inconvenienced. Nobody scored provider-client relationships as bad; 78% scored them as good or very good, and 22% as neutral. 76% of the respondents saw excellent or highly successful clinic efforts to diminish HIV stigma, and no one believed that no effort had been

made. 92% of the respondents indicated that the service was excellent or good, while 8% indicated that it was poor. Finally, very few respondents noted minor accessibility problems, while 77% said that the clinic was very or very highly accessible. Overall, the majority of the interviewees stated they were satisfied with the clinic's convenience, quality of service, stigma reduction, provider relationships, and hours availability.

Table 5: Adjusted logistic regression analysis: Association between internalized stigma and clinic visitation

Ever missed appointment	Odds Ratio Std. Err. z P> z [95% Conf. Interval]
Disclosed HIV status	
No	1.696997 .4969267 1.81 0.041 .9559304 3.012562
Feel ashamed of HIV	
Always	2.088329 1.04313 1.00 0.018 .5710089 5.609259

Blame yourself of HIV+ Always	9.71556 7.221737 3.06 0.002 2.26337 14.70423
Often	5.546271 3.18491 2.98 0.003 1.799717 11.0922
Fear of disclosing HIV status Always	8.08458 4.483117 3.77 0.000 2.726737 11.9702
Feel socially isolated Always	1.780142 1.278209 0.80 0.022 .4357724 7.271929
Rarely	.2323711 .1313533 -2.58 0.010 .0767397 .7036294
Sometimes	.3214492 .1796857 -2.03 0.042 .1074737 .9614404
Self-esteem range Low	9.286238 4.951328 4.18 0.000 3.265787 12.40534
Average	3.126697 1.659027 2.15 0.032 1.105192 8.845734
Very low	3.853099 1.826227 2.85 0.004 1.52185 9.755475
Constant	3.3072021 .2316868 -1.56 0.028 .0700587 7.347058

The logistic regression in Table 5 shows the strongest determinants of missed medical appointments among HIV-positive individuals:

Disclosed HIV Status

- No (OR=1.70, p=0.041): People who do not disclose their HIV status are 70% more likely to miss appointments than those who disclose. This significant finding shows fear of disclosure results in avoidance behaviour.

Feel Ashamed of Being HIV+

- Always (OR=2.09, p=0.018): Participants who always feel ashamed are over twice as likely to miss appointments, indicating shame is a significant barrier to staying in care.

Blame Yourself for Being HIV+

- Always (OR=9.72, p=0.002): Participants who always blame themselves are nearly 10 times more likely to miss appointments, a highly significant result indicating self-blame is a primary driver of disengagement.
- Frequently (OR=5.55, p=0.003): Participants who frequently blame themselves are over 5 times more likely to miss an appointment, indicating the need for psychological care.

Fear of Disclosure of HIV Status

- Always (OR=8.08, p=0.001): Participants who always fear disclosure are over 8 times more likely to miss an appointment, indicating stigma and fear as important barriers to care retention.

Feeling Socially Isolated

- Always (OR=1.78, p=0.022): Isolating oneself always is associated with missing appointments by 78%, which suggests that social relationships are important.
- Rarely (OR=0.23, p=0.010) and Sometimes (OR=0.32, p=0.042): The respondents who isolate themselves rarely or sometimes are less likely to miss appointments, suggesting the buffering role of social support.

Self-Esteem Category

- Average (OR=3.13, p=0.032), Low (OR=9.29, p=0.001), and Very Low (OR=3.85, p=0.004): Decreasing levels of self-esteem are associated with a significant increase in missed appointments, highlighting the value of enhancing self-esteem to obtain better care engagement.

DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

The study suggests that internalized stigma has a high correlation with care retention and HIV treatment motivation. Statistics indicated majority distribution of respondents in the age group 25 to 49 years at 54% females and 46% males. This confirms World AIDS statistics in 2022, where out of every 25 adults in the world, an adult has HIV infection, the most affected being this age group. Interestingly, 43% of them had primary education only, indicating poor HIV and AIDS awareness. Research indicates that individuals with limited qualifications are more probable to suffer from extreme internalized stigma, which affects their health adversely (Adelekan, 2021).

Internalized self-stigma was high and in 26% of participants, participants confessed to feeling shame at being HIV positive that led to avoidance of healthcare. There is evidence that connects shame with reduced self-care and utilization of health services (Hatzenbuehler, 2009; Krishnaratne *et al.*, 2020). Depressive and anxious sentiments were also prevalent, typically leading to self-blame. Logistic regression analysis revealed self-blame as a strong impediment to treatment adherence with the odds ratio (OR) of 9.72 for missed appointments among individuals who experienced self-blame (Pantelic *et al.*, 2017). In addition, those who feared that their HIV status would be revealed were over eight times more likely to miss care (OR = 8.08), whereas shame increased chances of missing an appointment by 79% (OR = 1.79) (Earnshaw *et al.*, 2013; Stangl *et al.*, 2019).

The main predictor of health-seeking behaviour was social support. In the absence of adequate support, barriers to treatment, isolation, and negative emotions

were greater (Brennan *et al.*, 2020; Stangl *et al.*, 2019). Low self-esteem was also common, and this was related to increased missed appointment rates (odd ratio = 9.29) and poor retention in care (Luszczynska *et al.*, 2007).

Clinic quality of services and accessibility were largely favourably rated by 92% reporting satisfaction but 23% reported moderate or minor accessibility issues, and others had issues with provider-client relationships, with 22% feeling neutral about their interactions (Brewster & Egan, 2019). Though 76% enjoyed some sincere attempts at reducing stigma, there is always room for improvement.

The logistic regression results of the study verify the significant role of internal stigma, self-blame, and fear of disclosure in retaining care, with promotion of education, social support, and structural interventions to promote improved health outcomes among people with HIV.

CONCLUSION

The study indicates that internalized HIV stigma has a significant correlation with care retention among those living with HIV. Stigma brings shame and self-blame, and therefore, it is difficult for the patients to start or continue with treatment. Disclosure anxiety, shame, isolation, and low self-esteem need to be treated. Stigmatizing myths regarding individuals living with HIV/AIDS can be dismantled by public and health facility campaigns. PLHIV can communicate with one another through peer groups, comforting each other and offering beneficial information on medication to improve outcomes in terms of health. Care is not only drug treatment; synchronizing appointment times and assisting with transport can encourage increased uptake in health. Counselling helps patients cope with emotions triggered by diagnosis and encourages resilience, critical to the control of chronic illness. Monitoring the effects of stigma-reduction activities enables clinics to refine strategies according to the feedback of patients, thus improving services. The ongoing process works towards a time when no one is being held back from the life, they want, due to HIV-related stigma.

REFERENCES

- Adelekan, M. L., Adegoke, A. A., & Akanbi, T. F. (2021). Health literacy and the management of HIV/AIDS: A narrative review. *African Journal of Health Professions Education*, 13(2), 56-6.
- Brennan, J., et al. (2020). The role of stigma in HIV-related health disparities: A review of the literature. *AIDS Care*, 32(3), 319-324.
- Brewster, M. P., & Egan, J. J. (2019). The Role of the Therapeutic Alliance in HIV Care. *Journal of HIV/AIDS and Social Services*, 18(3), 313-328.
- Dunkle, K. L., Stephenson, N., Banerjee, J., Asamoah, B., Singh, M., & Plummer, F. A. (2008). Gender differences in the risk and determinants of intimate partner violence among people living with HIV/AIDS in rural Malawi and Zambia. *AIDS Care*, 20(7), 862-871.
- Earnshaw, V. A., & Chaudoir, S. R. (2009). From stigma to discrimination: Investigation of the impact of mental illness stigma on people's lives. *Sexual Health*, 6(1), 35-41.
- Earnshaw, V. A., et al. (2013). The impact of stigma on the lives of people living with HIV: Perspectives from the United States and Canada. *American Journal of Public Health*, 103(2), 245-252.
- Hatzenbuehler, M. L. (2009). How does sexual minority stigma get under the skin? A psychological mediation framework. *Psychological Bulletin*, 135(5), 707-730.
- Hatzenbuehler, M. L., et al. (2013). The social epidemiology of stigma: Implications for understanding HIV/AIDS. *Social Science & Medicine*, 80, 48-57.
- Kalichman, S. C., et al. (2005). Health literacy and health-related outcomes in people living with HIV/AIDS. *Health and Social Work*, 30(1), 12-24.
- Luszczynska, A., et al. (2007). Self-efficacy and quality of life in people living with HIV/AIDS: A
- Nyavro, P., Boulenger, J. P., Rueda, S., Garcia, A., & Psaros, C. (2011). Internalized stigma and adherence to antiretroviral therapy among people living with HIV/AIDS in resource-limited settings: A systematic review. *AIDS and Behavior*, 15(1), 1-13.
- Nyirenda, M., et al. (2016). HIV-related stigma and discrimination in Zambia: A systematic review. *PLoS ONE*, 11(1), e0146308.
- Pantelic, M., Shenderovich, Y., Cluver, L., Boyes, M., & Moshabela, M. (2020). Predictors of internalised HIV-related stigma: a systematic review of studies in sub-Saharan Africa. *Health Psychology Review*, 14(3), 253-269. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437199.2019.1706263>.
- Polit, D.F., & Beck, C.T. (2021). *Nursing research: Generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice* (11th ed.). Wolters Kluwer.
- Rao, D., et al. (2017). The effects of stigma on health care utilization among people living with HIV/AIDS in India. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, 28(11), 1043-1050.
- Rourke, S. B., et al. (2006). The role of social support in the relationship between stigma and quality of life in men living with HIV. *Psychology & Health*, 21(5), 673-688.
- Sibanda, E. L., Weller, I. V., Hakim, J. G., & Cowan, F. M. (2013). The magnitude of loss to follow-up of HIV-exposed infants along the prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission continuum of care: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*, 27(17), 2787-2797.
- Stangl, A. L., et al. (2019). Patterns of stigma and access to HIV services: The case of Uganda. *BMC Public Health*, 19, 124.
- UNAIDS. (2021, July). *Stigma and discrimination*. <https://www.unaids.org/en/keywords/stigma-and-discrimination>

Cite This Article: Mr. Paul Kasinde, Dr. John Mwaba, Dr. Norah Ndambo, Dr. Thanthwe Mangani, Mr. Innocent Mwaba, Mr. Bryson Musonda, Mr. Vincent Kapungu, Mr. Andrew Phiri, Ms. Lackeby Kawanga, Ms. Solthin Jere (2025). The Impact of Internalized HIV Stigma on Retention in Care among Individuals Living with HIV at Chifundo Clinic in Lusaka District, Zambia. *East African Scholars J Med Sci*, 8(6), 213-219.