Rationalizing Indication of Ceramic Veneers: A Systematic Review
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Introduction:
Ceramic veneers are an esthetic treatment which becomes a widespread approach satisfying increasing requirement of patients in terms of esthetics. It is a highly conservative approach treatment compared to total crowns as they require minimally preparation limited to enamel. The success of prosthetic rehabilitation with ceramic veneers depends on several parameters especially when patients are more demanding. That’s why it is necessary to specify the indications and respect the limits of ceramic veneers. This systematic review aims to identify and update veneers Indications in order to ensure patient’s satisfaction and offer long-lasting results. Material and method: A broad search of Pubmed, Cochrane Library, Ebscohost was conducted using all terms relevant to the subject. Relevant papers published in English and Frech were identified after a review of their titles, abstracts and full reading of papers. The search covered the period from January 2014 to January 2019. Results: A total of 909 articles were found: 18 are duplicated and 774 were excluded. 117 were selected according to the title and abstract. Finally, 69 articles were eligible for the present systematic review. Selected articles discuss veneers indications through 137 clinical reports: A total of 94 female, 38 male and 5 not identified patients. 6 patients were under 18 years, 95 were more than 18 years and 36 were not indicated. Conclusion: Ceramic veneers are indicated mainly to perform and correct shape and color. Several parameters should be taken on consideration. Some factors straight away contraindicated this type of restoration as insufficient enamel, pulpless teeth, poor oral hygiene.
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Based on recent publications, this literature review aims to collect faithfully the highest number of successful clinical trials in order to extend and precise the situations where ceramic veneers should be the therapeutic approach. Precisely, the purpose is to rationalize indications.

Materiel and Method
The literature review used on search strategy electronic databases: A broad search of Pubmed, Cochrane Library, Ebscohost was conducted. Full articles were retrieved and duplicates were eliminated by Mendeley software. Relevant papers published in English and French were identified after a review of their titles, abstracts and full reading of papers. The search covered the period from January 2014 to January 2019. A handsearch was also performed to develop the review. The following keywords relevant to the subject were used: ceramic-veneer-indication-limit-success-failure. Table 1 illustrates the research strategy for each.
Database. Two qualified reviewers carried out data extraction independently and disagreement was resolved by discussion.

RESULTS

The database research produced 909 records: 18 were duplicates, 774 were eliminated after reading their titles and abstracts. Then, 48 articles were excluded after reading at the end, 69 articles fulfilling inclusion and eligibility criteria were selected for the literature review. The included articles contained: 1 literature review, 4 experimental study, 64 clinical report. The workflow of the paper screening process is reported in Figure 1:

DISCUSSION

In the last decade, Ceramic Veneers is considered a reliable restorative treatment, showing good longevity and low complication rates. Several systematic reviews reported follow-up periods ranging from 5 to 20 years, and survival rates ranging between 87% and 94% [1, 4–6].

Layton et al. estimated cumulative survival rate for feldspathic veneers at 21-years [7]. The present systematic review reported a total of 69 articles illustrated by 137 clinical cases from 18 countries (94 female, 38 male and 5 not mentioned). Their age average were over 18 years (70%), 4% were under 18 and 26% were not mentioned.

Since 2009, Gil Tirlet and Jean Pierre Attal [8] included veneers in the therapeutic Gradient. Olivier Etienne [9], who modified Belser classification of Ceramic Veneers (10), proposed 4 types:

Type I: correction of color
Type II: correction of form
Type III: abnormality of structure
Type IV: Correction of position

In the present this investigation, indications of Ceramic Veneers were collected, then, classified (table 2). Findings were coherent with previous classification [9].

From this review, Ceramic Veneers are indicated mainly to correct the shape (type II) and color (type I). It seems to be the suitable treatment for both malposition, then Diastema closure, followed by discoloration. Our results are coherent with those of Zarone F et al. [1].

According to the review, Ceramic Veneers is a reliable restoration which allows form and shape improvement. These findlings seems to be logical as the shape of anterior teeth is considered as substantial element in esthetic dentistry. Many studies demonstrated that Anterior diastema spoiled the smile attractiveness [77–79]. It is a common patient complaint, and has multifactorial etiology: dento maxillary disharmony, microdontia, persistence of the labial frenum attachment, agenesis [80]. That’s why the diastema cause should be identified and treated, sometimes, before the prosthetic rehabilitation. Several treatment options are available: orthodontic closure, Ceramic veneers or Resin Veneers [81–85]. According to Lynn [86], Porcelain Veneers are a clear requirement to close anterior diastemas offering dramatic improvement. Interproximal emergence profiles, biologic width, and papillary height must be taken on consideration to get excellent results. In the review, all diastemas were closed with a satisfactory outcome. The present study pointed out that both irregular size and atypical form are well managed with Ceramic Veneers. The peg-shaped lateral incisors is a frequent morphological variations of permanent teeth and laminated ceramic veneers appear to be a conservative treatment option for these situations, since in some cases, no tooth preparation is required [87]. Besides, Ceramic Veneers were indicated in 6 cases of lateral incisor agenesis, where canine were modified to replace missing tooth [58]. Nevertheless, the combination between ceramic veneers and other therapeutic attitudes could enable to obtain optimal esthetic success, as tooth proportions should be carefully considered: For example, it is established that proportion of the maxillary centrals is between 75% and 85% [88].

The orthodontic treatment allows space redistribution in the arch in order to come close to tooth golden proportion. As well as, gingivectomy which allows the tooth lengthening and both cervical margins and zenith harmonization [88]. For Such situations, a diagnostic wax-up, in conjunction with an intraoral mock-up, should be performed in order to visualize and simulate the final outcome [58]. A Digital Smile Design (DSD) could be useful to determine ideal tooth form and proportion according to the available space [20]. In the other hand, in recent years, the demand for having white and beautiful teeth is increasing, that’s why management of discolored teeth has hight importance in esthetic dentistry [89]. Depending on the severity of discoloration, several treatment options are available: bleaching, micro abrasion, composite and porcelain veneers, porcelain crowns or sometimes a combination of them. Ceramic veneers are very efficient for discolored teeth with a long lasting result [30, 42, 52, 90]. According to Shadman N, a thickness of 0.8 mm is necessary to mask severe tooth discoloration [89]. Defective resin restorations were replaced in 9 cases by Ceramic Veneers and provided an adequate esthetic outcome. For those patients, discoloration of resin, requiring multiples times for reinterventions, was the chief complaint [37]. As well as Ceramic Veneers could be also a proper solution for tetracycline discoloration, especially when bleaching cannot remove dark staining satisfactorily [91]. In the other hand, 4 clinical cases of
Fluorosis were managed with Ceramic Veneers. Only one article mentioned Fluorosis degree 4. According to Olivier Etienne, only degrees 3 and 4 require Ceramic Veneers [9]. Moreover, old ceramic and resin Veneers were replaced respectively 4 and 2 cases. For old resin veneers, marginal discoloration was the main chief complaint for the reintervention, that’s why a bleaching was done after the removal of defective existing prosthesis. Here ceramic Veneers is the ideal treatment thanks to optic et mechanical qualities [92]. This restoration is helpful also for smile correction, harmonizing prosthetic treatment as it is minimally invasive. As well as, it can be useful in cases of deviation of inter-incisive middle and Persistence of lacteal tooth. It is also indicated for Gummy smile correction, requiring in some time, gingivotomy [15,25,26,35,38,44,47,59,67,93].

To end, this study confirmed that ceramic Veneers are indicated especially to correct or perform shape and/or color, as it is mentioned by Olivier [9]. However, following situations were not included in the referring classification [9]: Abrasion/attrition, Erosion, Defective Ceramic /Resin Veneers, Lateral incisor agenesis and all indication in the field of Smile enhancement. The last entry is increasingly indicated especially for very expecting patients [20, 46]. Moreover, in the field of structure anomaly including Amelogenis Impectfrcta, Tetracycline, Fluorios, patients are mainly requesting color improvement. For those reasons, the proposed classification (table3): classify indications according to patient chief compliant in order to provide maximum satisfaction. It could be considered more global and specific as it target directly patient’s chief compliant.

All the indications above are reported faithfully in order to quantify and collect the maximum of indications performed by the dentists. This cannot replace in any way the importance of the clinical examination of the patient.

In the other side, some contraindication as, pulpless teeth, poor oral hygiene, extensive restoration, insufficient enamel teeth were extrapolated from the review and should been taken on consideration when planning for esthetic rehabilitation with Ceramic Veneers.

Otherwise, 2 articles in the review [19, 93] classified bruxism as a contra indication of Ceramic veneers. Mikeli [94] reported that it could pose a risk for all ceramic restorations. However, Melo [95, 96] didn’t prove any association between previous entities. Others [97] recommended protecting Ceramic restorations by a mouth guard. That’s why, it could be considered, currently, as a relative contraindication for Ceramic Veneers.

**CONCLUSION**

According to the present Review, Ceramic Veneers continue to grow as a major part of esthetic dentistry which is indicated especially to perform color and shape. They are reliable treatment option which could be an interesting alternative to single crowns that satisfies the increasing demands in terms of esthetics with long lasting results. Five panes should been taken on consideration to successes such restoration: a deep esthetic analysis, an appropriate indication, adequate clinical stages, fabrication and finally an adequate Bonding. Within the limitations of this systematic review, a proper indication could be considered a first key step to ensure. Successful rehabilitation with Ceramic Veneers. Further controlled clinical researches are necessary to more specifying.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table-1: Search strategy for each database and relative results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>search strategy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1#: (&quot;ceramics&quot;)[MeSH Terms] OR &quot;ceramics&quot;[All Fields] OR &quot;ceramic&quot;[All Fields] AND &quot;veneer&quot;[All Fields]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1# OR &quot;indication&quot;[MeSH Terms]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1# OR &quot;failure&quot;[MeSH Terms]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-2: Indications of ceramic Veneers in included articles
Table 3: Proposed Classification of Ceramic Veneers indications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indications</th>
<th>Number of appearance in the articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Malposition</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastema</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discoloration</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical Form</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular size</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discoloration</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smile Correction</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amelogenisis imperfect</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisor agenesis</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorosis</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defective ceramic veneer</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gummy smile</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrasion/attrition</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracyclines discoloration</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defective Resin veneer</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmonizing a prosthesis</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviation of inter-incisive middle</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistence of lacteal tooth</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shape correction
- Anterior Diastema closure
- Atypical form
- Fracture
- Irregular size
- Slight Anomaly of position
- Lateral incisor agenesis (canine reshaping)

- Abrasion/attrition
- Erosion
- Defective old Veneers

Color correction
- discoloration
- Defective Resin restoration
- Amelogenisis Impactrecta
- Tetracycline coloration
- Fluorios
- Molar- Incisor hypomineralization (MIH)
- Acquired enamel hypoplasia

Smile enhancement
- harmonizing prosthetic treatment
- Correction of deviation of inter-incisive middle
- Gummy smile
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