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Abstract: The Fallen Angel, Lucifer, was once described as the Prince of the Air. Today, he controls the airwaves through his agents and minions. Now we can choose to believe in such agents of metaphysical evil or not, but one thing is for certain, there are those who do believe in him and worship and perform rites for him. Perhaps they are doing no more than venerating a principle of evil, thinking that they are worshipping an actual entity that does not exist. Whether this entity exists or not matters not. What does matter is that the globalist cabal who are purveyors of evil venerate a principle of evil and perpetrate great harm upon the world they control. They worship their beast and are in the process of devising a Great Reset of the economic order. They will sabotage the national economies of the world through their CoViD-19 bioweapon, their rigged PCR tests, draconian lockdowns, and their controlled media. And when it is over, the national currencies will be reset to zero and will be replaced by a worldwide digital currency and ‘lockchain’. We will be living in a cashless society, in which every transaction is tracked, and will bear a tattoo or mark just as has been prophesied over the past two millennia. Godspeed to you all as we enter the Tribulation. May we come through the long dark tunnel and safely exit on the other side. Imagine if everything we thought we saw was staged with no more validity than the fictions we see on television, not news in fact but fake news deliberately manufactured to gain our consent through problem-reaction-solution dialectics and strategy games? Imagine if we have been deceived, and the media was manipulating and goading us into thinking and feeling a certain way? The proof that the CoViD-19 pandemid and lockdown was planned is right in front of us. It has been carefully planned, orchestrated, and implemented as a smokescreen for something else. What they have done is create a false narrative to make us fearful of a global plague in order to coerce us into a scenario in which we are inured into giving up our rights as free citizens under the pretext of a health emergency and the need to ensure public health safety. Why? That is the crucial question. Because of the need to implement UN Agenda 21—now UN Agenda 2030—a eugenics-depopulation-genocide operation disguised as a sustainable development program. In short, UN Agenda 21 was created in 1992, and has been implemented under the financial support and guidance of NGOs that receive funding from several countries, as well as groups like the “Open Society Foundation” and the so-called “Good Club,” billionaire clubs composed of such luminaries as Bill Gates, George Soros, Warren Buffet, Oprah Winfrey, David Rockefeller and Ted Turner. One major objective of the UN Agenda 21 initiative is for every local government to implement its own local Agenda 21. Since 2015, Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) have been introduced as part of a newer and more updated plan called UN Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development. The UN Agenda 2030, adopted in 2015, and heavily promoted and funded by the Gates Foundation, consists of 17 Sustainable Development Goals aka Green New Deal to be achieved by the year 2030. One should consider that, during World War II both Hitler and Roosevelt were promoting a “New Deal” economic initiative ostensibly so as to pull our respective countries out of the Great Depression and look where that got us. George Soros and the Rockefeller family are major philanthropists in this cause. One should also consider the fact that Bill Gates is the largest private donor to the World Health Organization (WHO), which is a UN agency. Below is a listing where the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is listed as a partner. A list of some of the major funding partners is as follows:. Keywords: CoViD-19, Scandemic, Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), UN Agenda.
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Then on June 13, 2019, just a few months before the pandemic, came the announcement:

“The World Economic Forum and the United Nations signed today a Strategic Partnership Framework outlining areas of cooperation to deepen institutional engagement and jointly accelerate the implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development [1].”

On the surface the sustainable development theme looks both desirable and laudable. Who would oppose something that seems to serve the ecology of the planet? But there is far more to what is actually being proposed than meets the eye. Once UN Agenda 2030 is properly dissected, what is revealed is a planetary coup, in which a complete planetary takeover of every nation on Earth is orchestrated through a global governance initiative, which effectively dismantles all national sovereignty, property rights, privacy, food systems, national currencies, etc. The World Economic Forum (WEF) that was created to support Agenda 21, was launched in 1971, with its half-century anniversary approaching in 2021. Bill Gates is a party to both entities, the UN and the World Economic Forum. In 2018, WEF stated, “The multi-stakeholder approach defines the core of the 2030 Agenda and Sustainable Development Goals: we are all in this together.” Sounds rather familiar, wouldn’t you say? Have we not heard this phrase being bandied about relentlessly since the CoViD-19 crisis began?

On the surface, the WEF website looks impressive, containing all the words and mission statements the public would want to hear. It is an action plan intended to elicit support for the UN Agenda 2030 sustainable development initiatives. Visit the website, sign up and see for yourself the entire plan laid out there for global governance, which ultimately constitutes a One World Order, consisting of one government and one world. In light of that think of how the U.S.A. was formed in keeping with the principle of e pluribus unum, meaning “out of many one.” This is what the Great Reset is for and what the CoViD-19 lockdown is intended to facilitate—a One World political, economic, and social order. It is an extremely well-designed and skillfully implemented plan to change the entire world order [1].

The WEF website lays out the entire plan for changing the world as we know it. Just clicking on a single link leads the curious to numerous other links for each topic. There are over 200 links per title displayed around the WEF conceptual platform wheel. It is all coordinated in such a way as to link the various fields and initiatives around the world. For what purpose? Because it is a comprehensive plan for changing our world in accordance with the mission statement of WEF founders. Amazingly, brazenly, and quite transparently, it all starts with the CoViD-19 page on the WEF website. The CoViD-19 page serves as the conduit for conducting the curious on a journey of discovery regarding the true nature and ultimate endgame of globalization. The title of the main page is Strategic Intelligence. Indeed, this is a military intelligence operation from start to finish orchestrated by global fascists who intend to implement a worldwide fascist dictatorship all done under the guise of good intentions by members of the Good Club [1].

It is simply not possible that this network of links could have been designed since early 2020 when the outbreak garnered worldwide attention and called for a coordinated response. It would have taken years to devise something of this order and magnitude. The current initiatives of global governance and the Fourth Industrial Revolution has been in the planning stages since at least the beginning of the former century. In fact, one of its planners, M.K. Gandhi received an award at a Round Table conference held in London, England, for the role he served in South Africa and India in advancing the global governance initiative, an award he received at the hands of Prime Minister McDonald early in the twentieth century.

What is being pushed is a One World Government, without nation states or borders. The One World Government intends to exercise full and complete governance over all of us by means of artificial intelligence, a digitalized One World currency and economic system, with absolute control over employment, food, health, medicine, everything. Every transaction will be tracked, all communication monitored, with zero privacy or freedom.

The fact is that Global Governance has been planned, and what the CoViD-19 page of the WEF website shows is that CoViD-19 has been devised as the catalyst and lynchpin for the Great Reset and implementation of a One World Order. What is on display on the CoViD-19 page is a coordinated plan by elite msterminds to devise and implement a scheme on how to take over the world through an international communist coup, the very dream of the Jesuit Adam Weishaupt, who founded the Bavarian Illuminati on the same date the United States of America was founded as a nation. Of course, government shills, disinformation artists and debunkers will continue to maintain the line that the Illuminati were disbanded long ago and no longer play a part in world affairs. It doesn’t matter whether the Bavarian Illuminati disbanded or whether it went underground and survived under an assumed name. Its strategy is consistent with the overarching Luciferian and Satanic organizations that rule the planet, including the United Nations, whose publications have always been under the auspices of the Lucis Trust founded by occultist Alice Bailey, the
original name for her publication being the Lucifer Trust. Of course, denialists, apologists, and the intellectual prostitutes of the academic world will find an excuse and justification for that too; devising some absurd explanation like the name enshrines the concept of Lucifer, the lightbearer, the bringer of light and hope for illuminating the world and ushering the multitudes out of the darkness. No, he is not. Whether real or imaginary, he represents a principle of evil that many people worship and venerate.

CoViD-19 is just the conduit, the catalyst, touchstone, and keystone for the implementation of the entire plan. CoViD-19 is the lynchpin for initiating the process of world domination by the One World fascist dictatorship. In fact, the CoViD-19 page lays out the plans for the next decade up to the year 2030 on every single page. It is easier to achieve such a far-reaching goal through the implementation of scare tactics, in which a world pandemic threat creates economic instability, job scarcity and orchestrated racial and class division because a divided world is easier to dominate. This is the oldest strategy game in the book, refined and perfected in Roman times, and used ever since to divide a subjugated people and render them helpless in the face of the enemy. Of course, it should be obvious what the Great Reset is all about—the emergence of the 4.0 or 4th Industrial Revolution. The globalists believe that we are no longer needed, that we are disposable, discardable and dispensable, that we truly are “useless eaters” to use a phrase coined by Henry Kissinger in reference to the planetary population. Of course, being a fat, overweight tub of shit, he fails to see that, while pointing the finger at us, he has three fingers pointing back at his fine self.

The Great Reset has been in the planning stages for over half a century. The data and videos connected with the CoViD-19 page are updated daily and are intended to buttress the narrative in support of global governance, which is really a Trojan horse for world takeover. Also, by navigating through the site, it becomes clear that every single topic and circle is designed to look like the corona. Of course, the word ‘corona’ means “Crown” and what is implied is the crowning of their king, the Antichrist. Everything has been hidden from us behind a veil of respectability, our government ministries for example. They are obviously not church ministries or God’s ministries. So what is the actual status of such ministries? They are ministries of Satan bluntly. In like manner, there is the City of London, which is Temple Crown, the financial and legal center not only of the UK but the world, since all international law societies are beholden to Temple Bar in London’s Temple Crown. What does the Crown symbolize? The coronet of Lucifer, which is said to have fallen to the Earth when he fell from heaven. It is this same crown that will be symbolically worn by the Antichrist when he assumes power. Of course, this will be dismissed as fantasy by the naysayers and debunkers of the Luciferian New World Order. Yet the CoViD-19 page itself proves that at least half a century of planning has gone into the CoViD-19 plandemic, lockdown and Great Reset. Every single topic for world takeover begins with the corona-virus. And each subtopic has over 200 links.

What is implied by global governance is a One World government. Even on the first line of the WEF page for CoViD-19, its authors refer on the very first line to the fact that “nationalist backlash has made this difficult.” It is abundantly clear, for instance, that Trump’s initiative “to make America great again” has
been deeply threatening to the WEF’s objective of global governance. The CoViD-19 page makes plain the fact that this one government system on the left affects all the other topics and tentacles, and how each topic goes down 200 levels. Also, the curious should make note of such topics as 5G, Anti-Globalism, the Great Reset, Transnational ACTORS, Civic Participation, Artificial Intelligence and Robotic, Human Cyborgs. What we are cowed into accepting is a dystopian Sci-Fi nightmare on the scale of Brave New World, 1984, Bladerunner and Total Recall.

The real question that should be addressed is, if global governance is so desirable, why do they need a global pandemic as the catalyst and spur? Furthermore, if they are so well-meaning, why have they disguised their objectives and aims and lie about their intentions? If global governance and UN SDGs are truly for the greater good of the planet, why does it require death, disease, and destruction to seek their implementation? And if they have been lying about this, what else are they leaving out of the narrative? That the Ten Commandments listed on the Georgia Guidestones, which has been nicknamed America’s Stonehenge, are actually real intended goals of our globalist overlords? That they really do intend to lower the planet’s population to 500 million in perfect harmony with nature?

As for the 4th Industrial Revolution and The Great Reset, both of these titles are found on the CoViD-19 page. And when the curious follow the links, they learn about what happens when we are taken over by the globalists following the CoViD-19 crisis. It is best to start with the summary on the right. It explains exactly what they are up to: “...using Covid to harness the power of revolution to change the economy” and “characterized by a fusion of technologies that is blurring the lines between the physical, digital, and biological spheres.” Even more there are proclamations that suggest that it is either their intention, stated aim, or a possible consequence of the revolution they have planned that the human herd could be reduced to robots: “In its most pessimistic, dehumanized form, the Fourth Industrial Revolution may indeed have the potential to “robotize” humanity and thus to deprive us of our heart and soul.” Even more frighteningly disturbing and diabolical is the fact that the authors offer precent
insights into the projected effects the 4th Industrial Revolution is likely to have on us.

**Block quote begins**

The Fourth Industrial Revolution will change not only what we do but also who we are. It will affect our identity and all the issues associated with it: our sense of privacy, our notions of ownership, our consumption patterns, the time we devote to work and leisure, and how we develop our careers, cultivate our skills, meet people, and nurture relationships. It is already changing our health and leading to a “quantified” self, and sooner than we think it may lead to human augmentation.

**Block quote ends**

Take a look at how intricate their conceptual wheel is. Notice how Covid-19 links to every single aspect of the wheel? That is because it is their corona, their crowning glory. It is the gateway to their New World Order, the Kingdom of the Beast, and the Empire of the Antichrist. The description provided for The Great Reset on the WEF website states: “There is an urgent need for global stakeholders to cooperate in simultaneously managing the direct consequences of the COVID-19 crisis. To improve the state of the world, the World Economic Forum is initiating The Great Reset.”

**COVID-19: The Great Reset**

This is the title of the book by Klaus Schwab, the Founder and Executive Chairman of the World Economic Forum, and co-author, Thierry Malleret. In the book foreword, the reader is offered a hint of what the authors hope to achieve from the Great Reset. The question is does CoViD-19 merely serve as an example of, “No good crisis should go to waste” or is an example of Hegelian dialectics enacted to achieve a Machiavellian goal. Given that the WEF funded the Event 201 “germ game,” in which the participating globalists rehearsed the CoViD-19 ‘pandemic’ response
on October 19, 2019, just 6 months before WHO declared an international ‘pandemic’ emergency on March 15, 2020, there is cause for deep suspicion that the whole event has been planned. Given also the fact that WEF’s website includes a Covid page, which actually shows that the virus is the lynchpin or catalyst for the Great Reset is one more reason to be suspicious. But the coup de grace is the title Klaus Schwab and co-author, Thierry Malleret, have given to his book: COVID-19: The Great Reset. The title says it all—CoViD-19 is the Great Reset. The virus engineered by the globalists has been unleashed upon the world for the purpose of achieving the re-engineering of society on a scale never before imagined, let alone attempted. If it were not so diabolical and pernicious in design, it might be described as a great undertaking, an ambitious and bold achievement. One thing is for certain, one has to give the Devil his due.

This entire scheme has been extremely well-formulated and devised by a cabal of brilliant psychopathic criminals to achieve the culmination and fulfillment of the world-historical plan known as the Great Work of Ages, through the inauguration and inception of the Empire of the Beast, the Kingdom of the Antichrist. Its authors are far from diffident in describing the purpose of their book:

**Block quote begins**

Published in July 2020, in the midst of the crisis and when further waves of infection may still arise, it is a hybrid between a contemporary essay and an academic snapshot of a crucial moment in history. It includes theory and practical examples but is chiefly explanatory, containing many conjectures and ideas about what the post-pandemic world might, and perhaps should, look like [*].

**Block quote ends**

Having the temerity to speak about how the world should look after a tragedy of such magnitude is disturbing in its presumptuousness. Furthermore, who are they to presume? What makes them think that their model of how the world should be is any more correct than any other? “No doubt the world is unfolding as it should,” is a claim as preposterous as it is impertinent. The authors speak of the world being at a junction, a crossroads, like the kind confronting Christian in Pilgrim’s Progress. The question comes down to choices regarding which of the two roads to take, one that meanders and drifts back to the ground already covered, and the other a path that leads to a fundamental reset, a new paradigm, that which will present us with a more promising set of possibilities:

**Block quote begins**

In early July 2020, we are at a crossroads, the authors of COVID-19: The Great Reset argues. One path will take us to a better world: more inclusive, more equitable and more respectful of Mother Nature. The other will take us to a world that resembles the one we just left behind—but worse and constantly dogged by nasty surprises. We must therefore get it right. The looming challenges could be more consequential than we have until now chosen to imagine, but our capacity to reset could also be greater than we had previously dared to hope [*].

**Block quote ends**

There is something deeply sinister about the attempt on the part of modern theorists to redefine BC and AC on the timeline, as the era before and after the appearance of the coronavirus. It was offensive enough that a group of academicians chose to replace BC and AC in reference to the period before and after the advent of Jesus Christ on the timeline with BCE and ACE, redefining the watershed in the world-historical timeline as “before the common era” and “after the common era.” Now we have an even more disparaging mockery of BC and AC by introducing COVID, as the social engineers chosen demarcation point, completely redefining BC and AC as the period “before coronavirus” and “after coronavirus.” This is offensive, not just because it is insulting to Christians, but it chooses a tragic event as the demarcation point in the timeline, which is tantamount to inviting a dystopianic world into our midst:

**Block quote begins**

At the time of writing (June 2020), the pandemic continues to worsen globally. Many of us are pondering when things will return to normal. The short response is: never. Nothing will ever return to the “broken” sense of normalcy that prevailed prior to the crisis because the coronavirus pandemic marks a fundamental inflection point in our global trajectory. Some analysts call it a major bifurcation, others refer to a deep crisis of “biblical” proportions, but the essence remains the same: the world as we knew it in the early months of 2020 is no more, dissolved in the context of the pandemic. Radical changes of such consequence are coming that some pundits have referred to a “before coronavirus” (BC) and “after coronavirus” (AC) era [*].

**Block quote ends**

The authors then tell us with the prescience usually displayed by insiders—globalists on the planning committee—that inevitable and unavoidable
changes are coming, which they paradoxically describe as “unforeseen.” If they were unforeseen, how is it that they are able to foresee and write about them? Here is what they foresee:

**Block quote begins**

We will continue to be surprised by both the rapidity and unexpected nature of these changes – as they conflate with each other, they will provoke second-, third-, fourth- and more-order consequences, cascading effects and unforeseen outcomes. In so doing, they will shape a “new normal” radically different from the one we will be progressively leaving behind. Many of our beliefs and assumptions about what the world could or should look like will be shattered in the process.

**Block quote ends**

The authors sum up the intention of the book in one sentence, “COVID-19: The Great Reset is an attempt to identify and shed light on the changes ahead, and to make a modest contribution in terms of delineating what their more desirable and sustainable form might resemble,” reviewing examples of earlier historical quarantine efforts to show that the current ‘lockdowns’ are nothing new, displaying a quite thorough knowledge of the power of plagues to change the social order and whole histories of nations:

**Block quote begins**

Thus, there is nothing new about the confinement and lockdowns imposed upon much of the world to manage COVID-19. They have been common practice for centuries. The earliest forms of confinement came with the quarantines instituted in an effort to contain the Black Death that between 1347 and 1351 killed about a third of all Europeans. Coming from the word quaranta (which means “forty” in Italian), the idea of confining people for 40 days originated without the authorities really understanding what they wanted to contain, but the measures were one of the first forms of “institutionalized public health” that helped legitimize the “accretion of power” by the modern state. The period of 40 days has no medical foundation; it was chosen for symbolic and religious reasons: both the Old and New Testaments often refer to the number 40 in the context of purification—in particular the 40 days of Lent and the 40 days of flood in Genesis.

**Block quote ends**

They are certainly cognizant of the power of a pandemic to spread fear, and how that fear manifests in various forms of reaction or response:

**Block quote begins**

The spread of infectious diseases has a unique ability to fuel fear, anxiety and mass hysteria. In so doing, as we have seen, it also challenges our social cohesion and collective capacity to manage a crisis. Epidemics are by nature divisive and traumatizing. What we are fighting against is invisible; our family, friends and neighbours may all become sources of infection; those everyday rituals that we cherish, like meeting a friend in a public place, may become a vehicle for transmission; and the authorities that try to keep us safe by enforcing confinement measures are often perceived as agents of oppression.

**Block quote ends**

The authors then introduce a premise, in which they begin to posit their concept of the CoViD-19 pandemic as fundamental to the groundwork of a Great Reset, arguing from the standpoint that historical plagues like the Black Death have initiated a great reset in the past, so we can expect the same from the current plague. It is quite true that the Black Death brought an end to feudalism, ushering in the age of enlightenment. It also threw the whole social order into upheaval, leading in many ways to the collapse of the class system, as rich elderly lords often married widows, or virgin brides, decades younger than themselves, often marrying down in class terms as well. The question is are the authors merely observing a phenomenon or are they part of the planning committee that is using problem-reaction-solution dialectics to achieve certain Machiavellian objectives:

**Block quote begins**

The changes were so diverse and widespread that it led to “the end of an age of submission”, bringing feudalism and servitude to an end and ushering in the era of Enlightenment. Put simply: “The Black Death may have been the unrecognized beginning of modern man.” If such profound social, political and economic changes could be provoked by the plague in the medieval world, could the COVID-19 pandemic mark the onset of a similar turning point with long-lasting and dramatic consequences for our world today?

**Block quote ends**

The authors then refer to the changes they envision being invoked by the present pandemic. The question is are they making passive observations based on trends they perceive or are they part of a steering committee socially engineering society and steering it toward desired outcomes, because what they are describing below hints at problem and reaction
achieving synthesis in a radical leftist socialistic/communistic endgame:

Block quote begins

But it could go beyond a mere acceleration by altering things that previously seemed unchangeable. It might thus provoke changes that would have seemed inconceivable before the pandemic struck, such as new forms of monetary policy like helicopter money (already a given), the reconsideration/recalibration of some of our social priorities and an augmented search for the common good as a policy objective, the notion of fairness acquiring political potency, radical welfare and taxation measures, and drastic geopolitical realignments [20].

Block quote ends

What begins to emerge is the sense that “A good crisis should never go to waste.” Given the date of July 2020 being mentioned in the foreword of the book, it is clear that the book was published in the summer of 2020. Given its contents, and the fact that it has been carefully edited and is nearly 200 pages in length, it is hard to believe that all these ideas could have been sifted and put together in four brief months. There is an element of foresight and foreknowledge here that defies ordinary explanation. There is something extraordinarily singular about the book’s hypothesis and the unseemly haste with which it was written and released. It is analogous to the all too hasty development and deployment of CoVid-19 vaccines by AstraZeneca, Moderna, Pfizer and other pharmaceutical companies. One cannot read the book or visit the WEF website or become aware of WEF sponsoring the “germ game” Event 201 without reaching the uncomfortable conclusion that prior planning and criminal premeditation is involved in the coordinating of this Great Reset. One cannot help reach the conclusion that WEF, and by extension the UN and its other affiliated agencies, not least the World Bank and WHO are implicated in what, if it is proven, constitutes a conspiracy to commit crimes against humanity, including mass murder of the civilian population, on an unprecedented worldwide scale. It is without doubt the greatest single crime ever committed in the history of the world, since never before has the entire world been the target of a criminal conspiracy by a global crime syndicate.

How have the prophets of doom been able to foresee where the pandemic would emerge so accurately? And when one of those pundits is a eugenicist from a family of eugenicists, it is disturbing to see Bill Gates pretend to be interested in pandemic containment and promoting a vaccine cure, and vaccine certificates to identify the vaccinated. The dichotomy between the eugenicist and a compassionate crusading savior are too stark to reconcile. How can the same man want to lower the Earth’s population—under the wrongful presumption that we have exceeded the planet’s carrying capacity—and at the same time campaign to save as many lives as possible through the dispensing of vaccines against a purported disease plague?

These are irreconcilable agendas, and it is disturbing to see the number of people that buy into the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation con of wishing to safeguard people’s health, while calling on the elderly, Native American, and African-Americans to be first in line to receive the jab as the most ‘vulnerable’ segments of the U.S. population. And this is the same man who is recommending “death panels” for the elderly to decide who among them should be permitted to live and who should die? It just doesn’t add up. Gates is clearly a psychopath and a criminal con artist of the most pernicious kind, but people can’t see it and won’t see it because they have been brainwashed to respect his intellect, success, wealth, and high social status. Indeed, Gates prophetic claims about the coming ‘pandemic’ are far too cogent and accurate in their specificity to be the mere conjuring of a seer adept. The reader can examine for himself the telling nature of the authors’ words on the subject:

Block quote begins

Many pundits have mischaracterized the COVID-19 pandemic as a black-swan event simply because it exhibits all the characteristics of a complex adaptive system. But in reality it is a white-swan event, something explicitly presented as such by Nassim Taleb in The Black Swan published in 2007: something that would eventually take place with a great deal of certainty. Indeed! For years, international organizations like the World Health Organization (WHO), institutions like the World Economic Forum and the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (CEPI – launched at the Annual Meeting 2017 in Davos), and individuals like Bill Gates have been warning us about the next pandemic risk, even specifying that it: 1) would emerge in a highly populated place where economic development forces people and wildlife together; 2) would spread quickly and silently by exploiting networks of human travel and trade; and 3) would reach multiple countries by thwarting containment [20].

—
Block quote begins

The authors also foresee the already anticipated role of automation increasing exponentially due to the pandemic: “In all likelihood, the recession induced by the pandemic will trigger a sharp increase in labour-substitution, meaning that physical labour will be replaced by robots and “intelligent” machines, which will in turn provoke lasting and structural changes in the labour market.” They then continue their account of the kind of dystopian future that awaits us in so prescient a vision of the almost certain future that lies in wait for the struggling masses wishing to be employed that one is tempted to replace the phrase “pre-pandemic era” with “pre-pandemic era”:

This is when employers replace less-skilled workers with automation to increase labour productivity. Low-income workers in routine jobs (in manufacturing and services like food and transportation) are those most likely to be affected. The labour market will become increasingly polarized between highly paid work and lots of jobs that have disappeared or aren’t well paid and are not very interesting. In emerging and developing countries (particularly those with a “youth bulge”), technology runs the risk of transforming the “demographic dividend” into a “demographic nightmare” because automation will make it much harder to get on the escalator of economic growth.

Block quote ends

Block quote begins

In the pre-pandemic era, new artificial intelligence (AI)-based technologies were being gradually introduced to automate some of the tasks performed by human employees. The COVID-19 crisis, and its accompanying measures of social distancing, has suddenly accelerated this process of innovation and technological change. Chatbots, which often use the same voice recognition technology behind Amazon’s Alexa, and other software that can replace tasks normally performed by human employees, are being rapidly introduced. These innovations provoked by necessity (i.e. sanitary measures) will soon result in hundreds of thousands, and potentially millions, of job losses.

Block quote ends

Block quote begins

The grim projections get grimmer still till one anticipates that those at the bottom of the economic ladder, stuck in menial jobs won’t be keeping them, but will soon be replaced by automation and AI. It is sad indeed that these authors of privilege can be so cavalier in writing about the fate of the future job seeker, knowing full well that as the sweethearts of the global elite, they will never be faced with the consequences of the dystopian nightmare their peers have unleashed, in which they are complicit co-conspirators. Their words are practically an admission of guilt:

Block quote ends

As consumers may prefer automated services to face-to-face interactions for some time to come, what is currently happening with call centres will inevitably occur in other sectors as well. “Automation anxiety” is therefore set for a revival, which the economic recession will exacerbate. The process of automation is never linear; it tends to happen in waves and often in harsh economic times, when the decline in companies’ revenues makes labour costs relatively more expensive.

Block quote begins

The socialist agenda is slightly veiled, but it is clear that the UN’s SDGs are foremost in the minds of these ideologues, and that they are conceiving of the ‘pandemic’ as an opportunity to achieve greater sustainability in keeping with UN Agenda 21 and Agenda 30’s SDGs:

Block quote ends

Block quote begins

We do not know yet whether the “tyranny of GDP growth” will come to an end, but different signals suggest that the pandemic may accelerate changes in many of our well-established social norms. If we collectively recognize that, beyond a certain level of wealth defined by GDP per capita, happiness depends more on intangible factors such as accessible healthcare and a robust social fabric than on material consumption, then values as different as the respect for the environment, responsible eating, empathy or generosity may gain ground and progressively come to characterize the new social norms.

Block quote ends

Block quote begins

As for a global virtual currency, there is none in sight yet, but there are attempts to launch national digital currencies that may eventually dethrone the US dollar supremacy. The most significant one took place...
in China at the end of April 2020 with a test of a national digital currency in four large cities. The country is years ahead of the rest of the world in developing a digital currency combined with powerful electronic payment platforms; this experiment clearly shows that there are monetary systems that are trying to become independent from US intermediaries while moving towards greater digitization [34].

Block quote ends

The authors present their case for why the lockdown was necessary and why governments had no choice but to impose one upon their populations. While arguing that the damage inflicted on a society and economy by a lockdown is abundantly clear to anyone, they argue that any success in terms of containing an outbreak and preventing deaths is incalculable and invisible. There is no public celebration when a coronavirus case or death doesn’t happen, because there is no tangible evidence that an infection or death has been prevented. It is analogous to nothing happening on a security guard’s watch. This may be because the guard is effective at surveillance and patrol duties or it could come down to just plain luck. In essence, if there is minimal to no disease outbreak, the public-health policy paradox is that “when you do it right, nothing happens.” Several studies have shown why lockdowns are necessary to contain the spread of pathogen infection. Two of these studies reached similar conclusions by means of different methodologies, modelling what could have happened without the imposition of lockdowns. According to one conducted by Imperial College London, lockdowns imposed in March 2020 averted 3.1 million deaths in 11 European countries, including the UK, Spain, Italy, France, and Germany. The other, led by the University of California, Berkeley, concluded that 530 million total infections, corresponding to 62 million confirmed cases, were averted in six countries—China, South Korea, Italy, Iran, France, and the U.S. What this shows is that, in countries with CoViD-19 cases during the peak period, and where doubling time was roughly every two days, governments had no choice but to impose strict lockdowns. The exponential growth factor involved in doubling time, and the considerable damage it can cause during a pandemic, could not be ignored, argue the authors [35].

The devastating toll the pandemic has had on the world economy is unparalleled in history, according to the authors. They portray the shock that the pandemic has caused to the global economy as being more swift and severe than any rival event in economic history. Even in the Great Depression and the Global Financial Crisis of 2008, it took several years for GDP to contract by ten percent or more and for unemployment to soar above ten percent, they argue. With the pandemic, negative macroeconomic effects, such as rising unemployment and plunging GDP growth, happened in the course of just three weeks beginning in March, 2020. The CoViD-19 ‘pandemic’ inflicted a crisis of both supply and demand that led to the deepest economic crash in over a century, they maintain. As the economist Kenneth Rogoff warned: “Everything depends on how long it lasts, but if this goes on for a long time, it’s certainly going to be the mother of all financial crises [36].”

The authors describe the far-reaching effect that the ‘pandemic’ has had on the global economy. They also put a timeline on their observations, “At the time of writing (end of June 2020).” Between February and May 2020, governments around the world made the deliberate decision to shut down a considerable portion of their national economies to prevent the spread of the deadly pathogen. This move brought about a fundamental shift in the way the world economy operates, marked by a sudden return to a form of “relative autarky,” they argue, with every nation trying to move towards certain forms of self-sufficiency.

This phenomenon occurred during the Great Depression, they note, as governments adopted protectionist tariffs to protect already stressed local industries from foreign competition, which resulted in a chain-reaction collapse as countries lost export markets for their goods. This caused all countries to internalize trade within their own borders and to become economically self-reliant. Where the CoViD-19 pandemic is different in terms of its economic fallout is that the sealing of national borders to trade and travel was, though protectionist, seen as a defensive measure against disease transmission as opposed to trade barrier protectionism. Far more seriously impacted than primary and secondary industry in old core and new core countries, was the tertiary or service industry sector. Consequently, the service industry, which is by far the largest of the economic sectors in any developed economy—about 70% of GDP and more than 80% of employment in the U.S.—was hit hardest by the pandemic. The irony is that tertiary industry is usually more immune to economic hiccups like a recession or depression than economic sectors like manufacturing or construction, but in the case of the ‘pandemic’ lockdown, unlike the manufacturing or agriculture sector, once economic losses are felt in the service sector, the flight of capital is permanent. They cannot be deferred because service companies don’t hold
The emphasis of globalists keeps coming back to the need for vaccination as the solution to the global ‘pandemic’. Why do globalists like Schwab and global organizations like WEF keep pushing the vaccine agenda, especially now? Why is it being pushed so strongly during this ‘pandemic’? There appears to be more to this vaccine initiative than they are letting on. There seems to be a time factor and the need to have 7.8 billion people vaccinated in a short amount of time. The explanation of “herd immunity” does not account for this. Why, for instance, do people who have already been infected and recovered need to be vaccinated? For them, immunity, and immune factors within their bodies, has already been achieved. Let’s see what Schwab and his co-author, Malleret, have to say on the matter:

**Block quote begins**

Several months into the pandemic, it looks like even a semblance of a return to “business as usual” for most service companies is inconceivable as long as COVID-19 remains a threat to our health. This in turn suggests that a full return to “normal” cannot be envisaged before a vaccine is available. When might that be? According to most experts, it is unlikely to be before the first quarter of 2021 at the earliest. In mid-June 2020, already more than 135 trials were under way, proceeding at a remarkable pace considering that in the past it could take up to 10 years to develop a vaccine (five in the case of Ebola), so the reason is not science, but production. Manufacturing billions of doses constitutes the real challenge that will require a massive expansion and diversion of existing capacity. The next hurdle is the political challenge of vaccinating enough people worldwide (we are collectively as strong as the weakest link) with a high enough compliance rate despite the rise of anti-vaxxers. [xxvi].

**Block quote ends**

It appears that Schwab and Malleret are setting up many countries of the developed world, the “Western” nations as they are often referred to, for being labelled failed states. They even quote Henry Kissinger, who is discredited in many social circles as a purveyor of eugenics and genocide, a man accused of committing war crimes during the Vietnam War. The fact that the authors quote Kissinger suggests that they are endorsing both the man and his views. Is he an associate and one of their own circles?

**Block quote begins**

The most immediate and visible impact is that many governments will be taken to task, with a lot of anger directed at those policy-makers and political figures that have appeared inadequate or ill-prepared in terms of their response to dealing with COVID-19. As Henry Kissinger observed: “Nations cohere and flourish on the belief that their institutions can foresee calamity, arrest its impact and restore stability. When the COVID-19 pandemic is over, many countries’ institutions will be perceived as having failed”. This will be particularly true for some rich countries endowed with sophisticated health systems and strong assets in research, science and innovation where citizens will ask why their authorities did so poorly when compared to others. In these, the very essence of their social fabric and socio-economic system may emerge and be denounced as the “real” culprit, guilty of failing to guarantee economic and social welfare for the majority of citizens [xxv].

**Block quote ends**

The authors address the problem facing poorer countries—near core and far periphery nations—as being even more severe, relegating them to the position of being portrayed as “failed states” far more readily:

**Block quote begins**

In poorer countries, the pandemic will exact a dramatic toll in terms of social costs. It will exacerbate the societal issues that already beset them—in particular poverty, inequality and corruption. This could, in some cases, lead to extreme outcomes as severe as social and societal disintegration [xxv].

**Block quote ends**

The fact that these globalists are setting up so many nations, rich and poor, for failed state status due to weaknesses they claim are inherent in their institutional structures, is of course nonsense. What country, when facing this level of economic sabotage would not economically, socially, and politically fail to a large degree? What is the solution for these globalists? Naturally, the answer is global solutions. As we will see, they will recommend world government intercession, a world digital currency to replace those of the many ‘failed states,” a world army to oversee the transition to a NWO world government regime, and to roll out a schedule of vaccines.

But then the authors really make their case clear. They show that the countries that showed the most exemplary response to the “pandemic” already have most-favored nation status when it comes to being
amenable and compliant with “global governance,” the globalists’ pet concept. It makes one wonder whether the poor response in the UK and U.S.—two of the Five Eyes nations, which also includes Canada, New Zealand and Australia—was not actually a result of deliberate sabotage, so that they could be relegated to the ‘failed nation’ status, as intended by the globalists attached to WEF—the UK for daring to ‘Brexit’ from the EU and the club of nations most compliant with the UN’s Agenda 2030 global governance and sustainable development agenda; and the U.S. for going renegade under President Trump and refusing to kowtow to the globalist doctrine on the Kyoto Protocol on climate change and other controversial stances taken by the maverick U.S. president. Here is what the authors from Davos have to say on the subject:

Block quote begins
To what extent does the response of different nations reveal some inner strengths and weaknesses about particular societies or systems of governance? Some, such as Singapore, South Korea and Denmark (among others), seemed to fare rather well and certainly better than most. Others, such as Italy, Spain, the US or the UK, seemed to underperform on different counts, whether in terms of preparation, crisis management, public communication, the number of confirmed cases and deaths, and various other metrics. Neighbouring countries that share many structural similarities, like France and Germany, had a rough equivalent number of confirmed cases but a strikingly different number of deaths from COVID-19. Apart from differences in healthcare infrastructure, what accounts for these apparent anomalies? Currently (June 2020), we are still faced with multiple “unknowns” regarding the reasons why COVID-19 struck and spread with particular virulence in some countries and regions, and not in others. However, and on aggregate, the countries that fare better share the following broad and common attributes:

- They were “prepared” for what was coming (logistically and organizationally).
- They made rapid and decisive decisions.
- They have a cost-effective and inclusive healthcare system.
- They are high-trust societies in which citizens have confidence in both the leadership and the information they provide.
- They seem under duress to exhibit a real sense of solidarity, favouring the common good over individual aspirations and needs [\[^{[36]}\].

Block quote ends
Regarding the shared characteristics listed above, the authors state their position regarding the ‘characteristics’ of those nations deemed to have the most successful ‘pandemic’ response, arguing that they “… can be categorized as “favourable” societal characteristics, proving that core values of inclusivity, solidarity and trust are strong determining elements and important contributors to success in containing an epidemic [\[^{[37]}\].”

The authors show that their book is agenda driven and that the CoViD-19 ‘pandemic’ is the lynchpin that is creating the new economic order that is their aim. They also make it clear that the U.S. and the UK are two nations most strongly hit by the ‘pandemic’, or more appropriately the plandemic, and which just happen to be the staunchest promoters of neoliberalism, which is a laissez-faire economic doctrine in line with the model first promoted by Adam Smith, and in obvious contravention of the model promoted by WEF, which displays an obvious preference for a command-and-control economy more in line with the communist socio-economic model. Based on the authors own revealing line of argument in the following passage, it seems apparent that the poor performance the UK and U.S. are ‘portrayed’ as having toward the plandemic appear to have been engineered, which calls into question whether their infection and death rate stats have been exaggerated to fit the social engineering designs of WEF, which, it should be remembered, paid for the “germ game” Event 201, before all of this even began. What they have to say on the subject is as telling as a rat fink squealer:

Block quote begins
It is of course much too early to depict with any degree of accuracy the form that the societal reset will take in different countries, but some of its broad global contours can already be delineated. First and foremost, the post-pandemic era will usher in a period of massive wealth redistribution, from the rich to the poor and from capital to labour. Second, COVID-19 is likely to sound the death knell of neoliberalism, a corpus of ideas and policies that can loosely be defined as favouring competition over solidarity, creative destruction over government intervention and economic growth over social welfare. For a number of years, the neoliberal doctrine has been on the wane, with many commentators, business leaders and policy-makers increasingly denouncing its “market fetishism”, but COVID-19 brought the coup de grâce. It is no coincidence that the two countries that over the past few years embraced the policies of neoliberalism with most
fervour – the US and the UK – are among those that suffered the most casualties during the pandemic [**xxviii**].

**Block quote ends**

In keeping with the rather transparent agenda Schwab and Malleret put forth in their book, it is clear that they envision a greater command-and-control economic role for governments in the future, with the high likelihood that such intervention in the economic and societal sphere will be permanent. “How will this expanded role of governments manifest itself?” they ask. They then provide what seems like a very ready, pre-ordained, and pre-planned response:

**Block quote begins**

A significant element of new “bigger” government is already in place with the vastly increased and quasi-immediate government control of the economy…public economic intervention has happened very quickly and on an unprecedented scale. In April 2020, just as the pandemic began to engulf the world, governments across the globe had announced stimulus programmes amounting to several trillion dollars, as if eight or nine Marshall Plans had been put into place almost simultaneously to support the basic needs of the poorest people, preserve jobs whenever possible and help businesses to survive. Central banks decided to cut rates and committed to provide all the liquidity that was needed, while governments started to expand social-welfare benefits, make direct cash transfers, cover wages, and suspend loan and mortgage payments, among other responses. Only governments had the power, capability and reach to make such decisions, without which economic calamity and a complete social meltdown would have prevailed [**xxix**].

**Block quote ends**

The authors again single out the UK and U.S. for being most in need of reform because of being most badly hit, as they are ‘portrayed’ by the pandemic. China and North Korea have also been badly hit by the ‘pandemic’—far worse if the truth be told—but the globalists control the news media, as the pandemic and U.S. federal election of 2020 have made abundantly clear. There is a socialist slant to the mainstream news and there is a hard left-leaning stance to this WEFed-backed book, and held by its authors, WEF, and their world government backers. Just look at how they target the UK and the U.S. for chastisement:

**Block quote begins**

The financialization of the world that gained so much traction in past years will probably go into reverse. Governments, particularly in the countries most affected by it—the US and the UK—will be forced to reconsider many features of this obsession with finance. They could decide on a broad range of measures, from making share buy-backs illegal, to preventing banks from incentivizing consumer debt [**xxxi**].

**Block quote ends**

The authors refer to how the pandemic could lead to the emergence of ‘failing states’, which is a sure bet if you are on the planning committee that has devised a series of booby-traps for these nation states to fall into with no chance of ever extricating themselves from the tangled web of sabotage they have wound about their socio-economic and political systems:

**Block quote begins**

The implosion of some failing states or petrostates, the possible unravelling of the EU, a breakdown between China and the US that leads to war: all these and many more have now become plausible (albeit hopefully unlikely) scenarios.

**Block quote ends**

The global economy is so integrated at this stage that it would be virtually impossible to eviscerate its worldwide network. However, the authors contend that it would not have been possible to put the brakes on it or even throw it into reverse at this stage. They strongly endorse the view that the ‘pandemic’ will have just such an effect. They contend that is has already had the effect of re-establishing and strengthening border controls, reinforcing trends already manifesting before the ‘pandemic’ domino effect commenced in March 2020, reinvigorating protectionist measures mainly in response to fears about globalization.

The authors endorse tighter border controls for containing the spread of CoViD-19, but caution that this could lead to a revival of the kind of right-wing extremism witnessed in the post-Depression era of the late ’30s to mid-’40s in Europe, a reality that is encapsulated by Dani Rodrik’s “globalization trilemma” framework. At a time when globalization was beginning to experience a backlash, the economist explained why a re-emergence of nationalism would cause it to implode. The trilemma view holds that the tripartite phenomenon of economic globalization,
political democracy, and the nation state are unable to co-exist, since only two can logically co-exist at the expense of the third. Hence, democracy and national sovereignty can only be conjoined if globalization is contained. By contrast, if both the nation state and globalization thrive, democracy must logically suffer. Whereas, if democracy and globalization both expand, there would be no place for the nation state. Thus, the globalization trilemma is based on the condition that only two out of the three—globalization, democracy and nationalism—can co-exist at any given time. The EU has often been used as an example to illustrate the validity of the trilemma construct. Economic integration combined with democratic principles implies that crucial decisions have to be made supranationally—i.e. Brussels in the case of the EU—which weakens national sovereignty, as in the case of the Shenzhen Agreement. In the current global pandemic crisis, the “political trilemma” framework suggests that globalization must be contained in order to safeguard national sovereignty and democracy in the midst of a possible extinction level threat. Therefore, globalization has receded in the face of growing nationalism—a trend that had become most apparent in the West. Brexit and the election of President Trump on a protectionist and isolationist platform have shown the world how the two most neoliberal countries have responded to the threat posed by globalisation. This has shown that the rejection of globalization is a rational response to a robust economy that also displays a growing gap between the rich and the poor. At the same time, the authors note that there is pushback from both the right and the left. Threats to the supply chain, especially in terms of food security have caused many countries to look more to self-sustaining local supply chains. This has played into the hands of rightist national security hawks that see the need to secure borders and prevent spies and terrorists from seeping through the cracks. Meanwhile, the left can certainly see the reduced carbon footprint that has emerged from the ‘pandemic’ lockdowns. And since many Western government hawks suspect a Chinese connection to the ‘pandemic’, this will lead to reshoring in terms of manufacturing of pharmaceuticals or offshoring to ally India, now a major player in pharmaceutical manufacturing [\textsuperscript{xxxiii}].

To prevent a retreat into ultra-right-wing nationalism, the authors instead advocate for a more moderate and reformed form of globalization, through, “The establishment of a much more inclusive and equitable form of globalization that makes it sustainable, both socially and environmentally, is the only viable way to manage retreat [\textsuperscript{xxxiv}].” They then add a further endorsement of this solution, “This will only come about through improved global governance— the most “natural” and effective mitigating factor against protectionist tendencies.” If one didn’t know better, one could conclude that the globalists from Davos are covertly and quite underhandedly pushing the Illuminati goal of a worldwide socialist dictatorship through the backdoor of this ‘pandemic’. Hard to dismiss given that these accords with the Great Work and the declaration in Latin found on the hind side of the U.S. one-dollar bill, Annuit Coeptus Norvus Ordo Seclorum (Announcing the Birth of the NWO), the culmination of their Great Work, the Great Work of Ages, corresponding with the Great Reset. Given that the Illuminati family the Rockefellers donated the land where the UN headquarters was established in NYC, it is clear there is a world government agenda, that agenda being “global governance” and the introduction of communitarianism, a Hegelian synthesis derived from the antithetical ideological models of communism and fascist totalitarianism—better known as “communitarianism.”

The authors make it abundantly clear that they envision “global governance” as the solution to all the problems the world finds itself in, maintaining that, “strong interconnections exist between global governance failure, climate action failure, national government failure (with which it has a self-reinforcing effect), social instability and of course the ability to successfully deal with pandemics. In a nutshell, global governance is at the nexus of all these other issues [\textsuperscript{xxxv}].”

What do the authors blame for what they deem to be a poorly coordinated initial response to the ‘pandemic’? The insist that the failures were all at the national level and that things only succeeded when coordination occurred at the multinational level:

Block quote begins

At the onset of the crisis, international cooperation was non-existent or limited and, even during the period when it was needed the most (in the acme of the crisis: during the second quarter of 2020), it remained conspicuous by its absence. Instead of triggering a set of measures coordinated globally, COVID-19 led to the opposite: a stream of border closures, restrictions in international travel and trade introduced almost without any coordination, the frequent interruption of medical supply distribution and the ensuing competition for resources, particularly visible in various attempts by several nation states to source badly needed medical equipment by any means possible. Even in the EU, countries initially chose to go...
it alone, but that course of action subsequently changed, with practical assistance between member countries, an amended EU budget in support of healthcare systems, and pooled research funds to develop treatments and vaccines. (And there have been ambitious measures, which would have seemed unimaginable in the pre-pandemic era, susceptible of pushing the EU towards further integration, in particular a €750 billion recovery fund put forward by the European Commission.) In a functioning global governance framework, nations should have come together to fight a global and coordinated “war” against the pandemic. Instead the “my country first” response prevailed and severely impaired attempts to contain the expansion of the first wave of the pandemic. It also placed constraints on the availability of protective equipment and treatment that in turn undermined the resilience of national healthcare systems. Furthermore, this fragmented approach went on to jeopardize attempts to coordinate exit policies aimed at “restarting” the global economic engine [xxxv].

Block quote begins

After acting as apologists for global organizations like WHO, and the challenges it faced in coordinating an integrated global response to the ‘pandemic’, the authors then pin the blame on national governments for causing the ‘failure’ in failing to cooperate and coordinate properly with WHO. They then advocate for a quick fix to see to it that these global organizations are properly integrated in order to coordinate a response the next time a global crisis emerges:

Block quote ends

The world will be a very dangerous place if we do not fix multilateral institutions. Global coordination will be even more necessary in the aftermath of the epidemiological crisis, for it is inconceivable that the global economy could “restart” without sustained international cooperation. Without it, we’ll be heading towards “a poorer, meaner and smaller world [xxxvi].”

Block quote begins

Are the authors, as prophets of doom, merely predicting and anticipating a problem of national collapse due to the “failed states” scenario or have they and are they setting these nations up for “failed state” status, because that is what the UN and WEF have socially engineered them to do, and have managed to accelerate through a ‘pandemic’ response that has sabotaged national economies around the world. It is very hard to believe that it has not all been done by design. The memes and the rhetoric have been too consistent across the board. At the city, national, and regional level, the speeches have all been scripted, as if handed to the these ‘leaders’ in order to make all municipalities, provinces/states, nations, and regions fall into lockstep with the “global governance” authority of such dictatorial bodies as the UN and WHO. Expressions like the “new normal,” and arguments like we can’t go back to the “old normal”, and how we have to “build back better” have appeared and been taken on in the public lexicon with mercurial speed as memes that have been implanted through an international program of indoctrination and brainwashing.

The constant barrage of CoViD-19 news has heightened the level of paranoia to a fevered pitch, and made most people anxious about every sniffle, sneeze, and cough, encouraging them to get tested, where they inevitably find they test positive, and then fall ill, with many of them dying. Meanwhile, we are told through the media and public announcements that we can never return to the “old normal,” but that any attempt at restoring normalcy requires that we all queue up for the vaccine. It is all too seamless, too coordinated, and too congruent across national, regional, and continental boundaries for their not to have been prior plan and a premeditated plan in place for all this to happen. Conspiracy theory is damned. This is the greatest and most criminal conspiratorial crime operation in world history, and the blame has to be laid at the foot of the UN, WHO, WEF, and other globalist organizations, which were ultimately created and are under the thumb of the greatest worldwide cult and most powerful religious institution in the world, a veritable empire, the Roman Empire, which is about to see its tenth and final incarnation through the establishment of the UN as the new hegemonic superpower.

If this pandemic is natural, it certainly seems intelligent and calculating in design, for in the total absence of planning, a plague absolutely devastating to the developing world and the world’s poor has emerged either by nature’s design, God’s or man’s. The question that needs to be asked is which is it and we had better find the answer fast, because if there are genocidal maniacs on the loose that are responsible for orchestrating this worldwide plague, we had better ensure they are discovered, exposed, and stopped, or the global toll once they are through may be too dire for any of us to countenance. The authors make the case for the “failed state” being more the preserve of the poor underdeveloped areas of the globe than anywhere else. One could not imagine a more convenient outcome for the eugenicists and genocide advocates than the...
situations the world finds itself in the pandemic and post-pandemic periods:

**Block quote begins**

The boundaries between state fragility, a failing state and a failed one are fluid and tenuous. In today’s complex and adaptive world, the principle of non-linearity means that suddenly a fragile state can turn into a failed state and that, conversely, a failed state can see its situation improve with equal celerity thanks to the intermediation of international organizations or even an infusion of foreign capital. In the coming years, as the pandemic inflicts hardship globally, it is most likely that the dynamic will only go one way for the world’s poorest and most fragile countries: from bad to worse. In short, many states that exhibit characteristics of fragility risk failing [xxxvii].

**Block quote ends**

It is also very interesting to see how the ‘pandemic’ has been useful to and played into the whole climate mitigation campaign to reduce the world’s carbon emissions. This fact has occurred to many thinking people, though the word ‘many’ in that context may be a contradiction in terms. The truth is that most people don’t really trouble themselves to think about these issues. In part this is because ignorance is bliss, but being addicted to television is another reason, as it inures them to taking information in passively without actively challenging any of it. It is truly a one-way communication portal. It goes in, but nothing comes out. In terms of input and output, there is no output in the exchange. One thing is certain and that is that the ‘pandemic’ has played right into the other globalist agenda to reduce carbon emissions and contain the problem of greenhouse gases and carbon emissions all too conveniently for it to have been a chance event. The globalists could not have planned events better. The CoViD-19 *plandemic* has allowed them to set their sights on two of their most sacred SDGs: climate change and overpopulation. In one fell swoop, they have managed, through the global *plandemic*, to reduce the carbon footprint and the human population at the same time, by deploying the CoViD-19 virus as a bioweapon aimed at depopulation, while lowering carbon emissions through shelter-in-place and lockdown measures, as well as travel restrictions. Despite the ubiquitous lockdown measures adopted by our governments, the impact on global emissions was disappointingly meager: “It is too early to define the amount by which global carbon dioxide emissions will fall in 2020, but the International Energy Agency (IEA) estimates in its *Global Energy Review 2020* that they will fall by 8%.” What conclusion have the globalists at WEF come to based on the data?

**Block quote begins**

Even unprecedented and draconian lockdowns with a third of the world population confined to their homes for more than a month came nowhere near to being a viable decarbonization strategy because, even so, the world economy kept emitting large amounts of carbon dioxide. What then might such a strategy look like? The considerable size and scope of the challenge can only be addressed by a combination of: 1) a radical and major systemic change in how we produce the energy we need to function; and 2) structural changes in our consumption behaviour. If, in the post-pandemic era, we decide to resume our lives just as before (by driving the same cars, by flying to the same destinations, by eating the same things, by heating our house the same way, and so on), the COVID-19 crisis will have gone to waste as far as climate policies are concerned. Conversely, if some of the habits we were forced to adopt during the pandemic translate into structural changes in behaviour, the climate outcome might be different. Commuting less, working remotely a bit more, bicycling and walking instead of driving to keep the air of our cities as clean as it was during the lockdowns, vacationing nearer to home: all these, if aggregated at scale, could lead to a sustained reduction in carbon emissions. This brings us to the all-important question of whether the pandemic will eventually exercise a positive or negative effect on climate change policies [xxxvii].

**Block quote ends**

In terms of the “technological reset,” the authors conclude that many changes that had already been on the horizon, have led to biomedical breakthroughs they see as being beneficial: “In addition, the most recent biotechnology techniques using RNA and DNA platforms make it possible to develop vaccines faster than ever. They might also help with the development of new bioengineered treatments [xxxiv].” They also endorse measures such as “contract tracing,” under the auspices of offering a strong defense against the virus, but show no reticence about what this might mean to the privacy or human rights of those falling subject to such contact tracing. Are the authors immune to CoViD-19 or merely granted vaccine passport immunity as members of the global elite? The question is posed because they show no reticence or hesitation in endorsing these invasive measures to our privacy, and even encourage the enabling aspect of the CoViD-19 problem in providing pretext and justification for the solution—greater surveillance:
It will also accentuate one of the greatest societal and individual challenges posed by tech: privacy. We will see how contact tracing has an unequalled capacity and a quasi-essential place in the armoury needed to combat COVID-19, while at the same time being positioned to become an enabler of mass surveillance [10].

Then the authors lose all shyness and shamelessly present the quintessential reason for the *pandemic* and why WEF was the central driving force behind it. The title of this section is most provocative and revealing: “Accelerating the Digital Transformation.” Without any reservation, the authors show how the effects of the ‘pandemic’ lockdown will be the principal driver of the permanent changes that come into play post-pandemic in terms of “digital transformation”:

With the pandemic, the “digital transformation” that so many analysts have been referring to for years, without being exactly sure what it meant, has found its catalyst. One major effect of confinement will be the expansion and progression of the digital world in a decisive and often permanent manner. This is noticeable not only in its most mundane and anecdotal aspects (more online conversations, more streaming to entertain, more digital content in general), but also in terms of forcing more profound changes in how companies operate [11].

The science fiction writer Ray Bradbury wrote a short story called “The Pedestrian,” which presents a dystopian future society very similar to the one we entered during the *pandemic* and which has become the “new normal.” Ray Bradbury, and his fellow Sci-Fi authors, becomes successful mainly because they have been specially selected to perform a very important role on behalf of the NWO. Bradbury, and his kind, are engaged in predictive programming, which means that they begin to condition people to the “new normal” long before it arrives, preconditioning them to accept what they would be otherwise reluctant to embrace. How this works is that they read about the “new normal” of the future in their youth, so that when they come into contact with this reality again in the future, they embrace it because it nostalgically reminds them of what they had been exposed to during their early school days, and find that it spawns pleasant memories, which they associate with the “new normal.” The reality is that they have entered a very unpleasant dystopian world in which their freedoms have been stripped away, and where they have been reduced to a state of absolute bondage, but they accept it because of the highly successful predictive programming they had undergone in their youth.

The Bradbury story “The Pedestrian” features a lone protagonist by the name of Leonard Mead. He is found taking some air one evening, quietly roaming the streets, while his fellow citizens are all locked away within their own tomblike homes. The digital age has arrived and everyone is enjoying audio-visual entertainment on big screen televisions within the confines of their own homes. The idea of breaking curfew to go out for an evening stroll would never even occur to them. How much Mead’s world resembles our own becomes clear in this passage from *Covid-19: The Great Reset*:

During the lockdowns, many consumers previously reluctant to rely too heavily on digital applications and services were forced to change their habits almost overnight: watching movies online instead of going to the cinema, having meals delivered instead of going out to restaurants, talking to friends remotely instead of meeting them in the flesh, talking to colleagues on a screen instead of chit-chatting at the coffee machine, exercising online instead of going to the gym, and so on. Thus, almost instantly, most things became “e-things”: e-learning, e-commerce, egaming, e-books, e-attendance [12].

But the similarities between the Sci-Fi story and our current reality do not end there. Mr. Mead is soon confronted by a police car, the one and only such vehicle still in operation on the city streets due to the low crime rate, making policing obsolete. The police car is fully automated with an inbuilt computer. Mr. Mead is interrogated by means of a loud speaker, and asked to identify and explain himself. He is questioned as to why he is out and about at so unusual an hour. He replies that he wished to go for a walk and catch some air. This answer does not compute and seems irrational to the police computer, which responds by asking him whether he has air in his house. He replies that indeed he has, but that he liked to go out for walks in the evening. The police computer asks him how long he has pursued this odd habit, and he replies that he has done it every evening for years. The police computer then asks him if he is married, to which Mr. Mead answers, “No, no one wanted me.” The AI interrogation proceeds, next
with a question about his profession. Mead replies that he is a writer. The police computer replies, “No profession.”

The next moment, the police car door pops open and Mead is instructed to get in. He protests, insisting that he has done nothing wrong. The police computer repeats the command for him to get in. He complies and the police car door automatically locks, turning the backseat into an instant prison cell with bars. He is then informed that he is being taken to a psychiatric research center. Though we never learn his fate, we can guess that Mr. Mead was probably found to be mentally challenged and was forced to live out the rest of his days in the mental health facility.

Mr. Mead’s world is now our world. We never saw it coming, but it is certainly here. The ‘pandemic’ lockdown brought it right to our doorstep. People in various countries are being fined or incarcerated for breaking curfew or violating shelter-in-place measures enforced during the lockdown. Many are being arrested under the pretext of testing positive for CoViD-19 or as suspected carriers of the deadly pathogen, and are being taken away to quarantine facilities, never to be seen again. The excuse is made that friends and family are unable to visit due to the danger of transference. In many cases, these falsely profiled and documented CoViD-19 patients were most likely political prisoners taken into custody by their own governments, for their political beliefs or dissident views, and most likely killed while in custody, before their bodies were incinerated, under the pretext of preventing the spread of the deadly pathogen. As for family and friends, they very likely felt compelled to keep silence for fear the same fate might befall them. The pandemic has converted even the most democratic societies into totalitarian states practically overnight, as our duly elected political leaders kowtow to the health authority, whose dictatorial powers allow them to simply take over. And with titles like Surgeon-General, it is clear that it is a military dictatorship under the military-pharmaceutical-industrial complex, which has effectively orchestrated a coup and usurped power under the pretext of a global health emergency.

Social engineers Schwab and Melleret have no hesitation in informing us that the “new normal” being imposed on us is something we will get used to, as many of the new practices will be adopted through force of habit, till they become ingrained in us and a whole new normal emerges:

Block quote begins
Some of the old habits will certainly return (the joy and pleasure of personal contacts can’t be matched – we are social animals after all!), but many of the tech behaviours that we were forced to adopt during confinement will through familiarity become more natural. As social and physical distancing persist, relying more on digital platforms to communicate, or work, or seek advice, or order something will, little by little, gain ground on formerly ingrained habits [35iv].

Block quote ends

When reflecting on old Sci-Fi novels like The Machine Stops, we can see the germ of our current technology being formed at the turn of the twentieth century with E.M. Forster’s novel. With films like Total Recall and Blade Runner coming out at the advent of the subsequent century and dawn of the new millennium, we can see how dystopian society had become in the space of a century, and how similar our world is to the one depicted in fiction:

Block quote begins
In addition, the pros and cons of online versus offline will be under constant scrutiny through a variety of lenses. If health considerations become paramount, we may decide, for example, that a cycling class in front of a screen at home doesn’t match the conviviality and fun of doing it with a group in a live class but is in fact safer (and cheaper!). The same reasoning applies to many different domains like flying to a meeting (Zoom is safer, cheaper, greener and much more convenient), driving to a distant family gathering for the weekend (the WhatsApp family group is not as fun but, again, safer, cheaper and greener) or even attending an academic course (not as fulfilling, but cheaper and more convenient) [3lv].

Block quote ends

It should be clear that WEF and other organs of the UN have taken full advantage of the pandemic crisis to socially engineer society into the precise form they had intended, which indicates that they have taken full advantage of Hegelian dialectics to implement problem-reaction-solution dialectics as a driver for society to fully enter the digital age, an age of cyberspaces, virtual worlds and virtual realities, where if you thought social interactions were superficial before, you can expect to find yourself in the shallow end of the birdbath for the rest of your life.

Our social engineer authors are now relentless in unfolding the many transitions and transformations society will go through now that the CoViD-19 genie is
out of the bottle and dispensing its transformational spells on society. The dehumanized dystopian world of tomorrow that will emerge like a phoenix from the old world that is reduced to ash and pestilence is a world of automation and robotics, where many of the jobs once performed by humans will have been made redundant, as humans are replaced. And instead of protesting these changes that eliminate human beings from the workforce, the authors explain how fear of catching some contagion will make people fully compliant and accepting of this dehumanized world, having been lulled into the conviction that though dehumanized and dystopian, it is nevertheless safer, a world in which they can at least survive:

**Block quote begins**

In one form or another, social- and physical-distancing measures are likely to persist after the pandemic itself subsides, justifying the decision in many companies from different industries to accelerate automation. After a while, the enduring concerns about technological unemployment will recede as societies emphasize the need to restructure the workplace in a way that minimizes close human contact. Indeed, automation technologies are particularly well suited to a world in which human beings can’t get too close to each other or are willing to reduce their interactions. Our lingering and possibly lasting fear of being infected with a virus (COVID-19 or another) will thus speed the relentless march of automation, particularly in the fields most susceptible to automation. In 2016, two academics from Oxford University came to the conclusion that up to 86% of jobs in restaurants, 75% of jobs in retail and 59% of jobs in entertainment could be automatized by 2035 [\(^{\text{[xvi]}\)}].

**Block quote ends**

The authors reveal more about their true agenda as the book proceeds till we are left in little doubt that this ‘pandemic’ is a *pandemic*, making it abundantly clear that automation is not only their preference, but that they have planned for it: “...the pandemic will fast-forward the adoption of automation in the workplace and the introduction of more robots in our personal and professional lives [\(^{\text{[xviii]}\)}].”

The book takes on the aspect of a planning manual the farther one reads:

**Block quote begins**

From the onset of the lockdowns, it became apparent that robots and AI were a “natural” alternative when human labour was not available. Furthermore, they were used whenever possible to reduce the health risks to human employees. At a time when physical distancing became an obligation, robots were deployed in places as different as warehouses, supermarkets and hospitals in a broad range of activities, from shelf scanning (an area in which AI has made tremendous forays) to cleaning and of course robotic delivery – a soon-to-be important component of healthcare supply chains that will in turn lead to the “contactless” delivery of groceries and other essentials [\(^{\text{[xix]}\)}].

**Block chain ends**

Our social engineers and planning committee at WEF reveal how their *pandemic* has advanced “Contact tracing, contact tracking and surveillance.” Both terms are often used interchangeably, yet they have slightly different meanings. A tracking app can home in on a subject in real time by, for example, determining a person’s current location through geodata gathered by means of GPS coordinates or radio cell location. By contrast, tracing consists of making associations between individuals retrospectively, like identifying physical contacts between people using Bluetooth. While it could be of benefit in preventing the spread of disease, the same technology could easily be used in the future to track, trace, find, and eliminate all those deemed enemies of the state.

Approximately two years ago, in Canada, the government introduced an Emergency Alert system on phones. Now they are using the system to issue alerts for child-abductions in order to hopefully recover and save a child in distress. Most people are glad to cooperate when the system is used for this purpose, but tomorrow the threat to the well-being of the state could easily be identified by other factors. Those refusing to be vaccinated—even though they may work from home and have their groceries home delivered—will be considered social pariahs, renegades, and fugitives—enemies of the state in effect, who must be apprehended, incarcerated, and probably in future, eliminated or euthanized as an unwanted member of society. Tracing and tracking people who may have been exposed to another infected individual sounds like a great safety measure to prevent the spread of infection, but in future, it can be used to trace associates of a protestor or someone considered an enemy of the state simply for opposing their government:

**Block quote begins**

While lockdowns are effective at reducing the reproduction rate of the coronavirus, they don’t eliminate the threat posed by the pandemic. In addition, they come at injuriously high economic and societal cost. It will be very hard to fight COVID-19 without an
effective treatment or a vaccine and, until then, the most effective way to curtail or stop transmission of the virus is by widespread testing followed by the isolation of cases, contact tracing and the quarantine of contacts exposed to the people infected. As we will see below, in this process technology can be a formidable shortcut, allowing public-health officials to identify infected people very rapidly, thus containing an outbreak before it starts to spread [\textsuperscript{12}].

Block quote ends

In all probability, anyone refusing the vaccine will be treated like a criminal. Ostensibly, the authorities will use the tracing and tracking app on phones to trace and track those who may have come into contact with an infected individual, so that all such people can be tested to see if they test positive for the virus. It can also be used in the future to trace and track a person considered an enemy of the state due to their political views or anti-vax beliefs. People don’t consider the implications of this. It is well known that, according to the law, one is regarded as an accomplice by association. Anyone suspected of coming into contact with an infected person would automatically end up on the shortlist. In addition, there are additional applications, which means that in any police state, a person could be identified as a CoViD-19 career and a manhunt could be launched for that individual, when the virus is not really the issue, but a mere pretext for apprehending one considered an enemy of the state, simply for holding politically incorrect views, as they are deemed to be by the authorities. It is for these and other reasons that tracking and tracing practices have come under scrutiny, as the authors themselves admit:

Block quote begins

It comes as no surprise that digital tracing has become one of the most sensitive issues in terms of public health, raising acute concerns about privacy around the world. In the early phases of the pandemic, many countries (mostly in East Asia but also others like Israel) decided to implement digital tracing under different forms. They shifted from the retroactive tracing of chains of past contagion to the real-time tracking of movements in order to confine a person infected by COVID-19 and to enforce subsequent quarantines or partial lockdowns. From the outset, China, Hong Kong SAR and South Korea implemented coercive and intrusive measures of digital tracing. They took the decision to track individuals without their consent, through their mobile and credit card data, and even employed video surveillance (in South Korea). In addition, some economies required the mandatory wearing of electronic bracelets for travel arrivals and people in quarantine (in Hong Kong SAR) to alert those individuals susceptible of being infected. Others opted for “middle-ground” solutions, where individuals placed in quarantine are equipped with a mobile phone to monitor their location and be publicly identified should they breach the rules [\textsuperscript{13}].

Block quote ends

The authors lash out at what they call “conspiracy theories” and “fake news,” probably because they have found themselves implicated by researchers in this pandemic, but that is because it is transparently clear that WEF is the entity behind it, precisely because it intends to use the problem—the ‘pandemic’—as the enabler and driver of the solution—a worldwide digital currency, cashless society, and a digitally tattooed and microchipped population. On top of that, WEF are the authors of many of the pernicious lies for which they accuse the peddlers of fake news: “Psychologists tell us that cognitive closure often calls for black-and-white thinking and simplistic solutions—a terrain propitious for conspiracy theories and the propagation of rumours, fake news, mistruths and other pernicious ideas.” As usual with criminal cabals, they point the accusatory finger at others, while having three fingers pointing back at them. The authors quote from Orhan Pamuk’s book, \textit{Nights of Plague}, in an attempt to debunk the various claims that have been made about the CoViD-19 virus:

Block quote begins

...people have always responded to epidemics by spreading rumours and false information and portraying the disease as foreign and brought in with malicious intent. This attitude leads us to look for a scapegoat – the commonality of all outbreaks throughout history—and is the reason why “unpredicted and uncontrollable outbursts of violence, hearsay, panic and rebellion are common in accounts of plague epidemics from the Renaissance on [\textsuperscript{14}].”

Block quote ends

While the authors attempt to deride and debunk such claims, the sheer frequency with which they recur by and large confirms that they are true. Researcher A. True Ott, for example, believes that the Spanish flu of 1918 resulted from vaccination shots U.S. Army troops received before being sent overseas to do their training in military camps in Spain, where the outbreak initially occurred, and why the disease bears its name. Meanwhile, researchers like Dr. Rebecca Carley and radio show host, Peter Kawaja—both of whom this author has worked with on Tropic Wave Radio based in Melbourne, Florida—allege that
the Gulf War Syndrome resulted from U.S. soldiers deployed in the Gulf War receiving a vaccine ostensibly intended to defend against anthrax, one of the many biological agents Saddam Hussein is alleged to have had in his biochemical warfare arsenal.

As for Schwab and Milleret, they once again show their true intention and aim when speaking about the creative individuals who will not only thrive during the pandemic, but are likely to benefit from it and emerge in the strongest position in the post-pandemic world:

Block quote begins
There is little doubt, for example, that in the next few years we will witness an explosion of creativity among start-ups and new ventures in the digital and biotechnological spaces. The pandemic has blown following winds into the sails of both, suggesting that we will see a good deal of progress and much innovation on the part of the most creative and original individuals in these sectors. The most gifted entrepreneurs will have a field day![40].

Block quote ends

In a section called “Consumption,” the authors refer to a trend in which it becomes unfashionable to flash one’s wealth, and to acquire the latest and greatest gadgetry, in order to show off one’s wealth and status. As the pandemic and post-pandemic brings into harsh relief the social inequalities, the mark of status will be determined by other variants, they postulate:

Block quote begins
When a tipping point is reached, extreme inequality begins to erode the social contract and increasingly results in antisocial (even criminal) behaviour often directed at property. In response, consumption patterns must be seen to be changing. How might this play out? Conspicuous consumption could fall from favour. Having the latest, most up-to-date model of whatever will no longer be a sign of status but will be thought of as, at best, out of touch, and, at worst, downright obscene. Positional signalling will be turned upside down. Projecting a message about oneself through a purchase and flaunting expensive “stuff” may simply become passé. Put in simple terms, in a post-pandemic world beset by unemployment, insufferable inequalities and angst about the environment, the ostentatious display of wealth will no longer be acceptable.[41].

Block quote ends

The authors may be right that status will be measured not by how much one does to enhance one’s own position, but how much one does for others. However, they are merely expressing their own preference in this regard, as it is the collectivist, common good, communist model they prefer over the neoliberal model of the “Anglo-Saxon” countries, as they refer to them, besides. They are hardly “Anglo-Saxon” countries anymore, with that group becoming a marginalized minority in the very nations in which they were once dominant.

One wonders, if when they refer to the “antisocial (even criminal) behaviour often directed against property,” they and their friends at Davos had something to do with it. It is documented in publication after publication that one of their very own, George Soros, was funding Antifa and BLM in an effort to break the social contract, turn America into a failed state, raise anti-Trump sentiment, and attempt to tip the election in favor of the Democrats, now the unofficial communist party of the U.S. for all intents and purposes. It is rather incidental that, now that the election has been stolen through well documented vote fraud—with evidence completely overlooked by the country’s corrupt judiciary—that the Bolshevik-like riots and acts of insurrection staged by Antifa and BLM have suddenly stopped and are no longer in the news. The fact that Trump seemed to have the Supreme Court stacked in his favor was another injustice, where he was advised by his own staff on recommended choices for Supreme Court nominees. Those sitting on the bench are either compromised, bought, or merely plants of the Deep State and Trump has been swindled.

The authors, like their social engineer comrades at WEF, were all too cognizant of what they perceived of as problems before the CoViD-19 virus showed up, but now that it has arrived, they see it as a golden opportunity to achieve a Great Reset:

Block quote begins
Failing to address and fix the deep-rooted ills of our societies and economies could heighten the risk that, as throughout history, ultimately a reset will be imposed by violent shocks like conflicts and even revolutions. It is incumbent upon us to take the bull by the horns. The pandemic gives us this chance: it “represents a rare but narrow window of opportunity to reflect, reimagine and reset our world”[41].

Block quote ends
Block quote begins

The globalists, many of whom hold WEF membership, have long dreamed of their NWO, “a brave new world struggling to be born,” and have striven for it with great ardor, in hopes of achieving the centuries old cherished dream of the Great Work of Ages. The novel coronavirus has accomplished in the blinking of an eye, what, in comparative terms, took hundreds of years of incremental steps to achieve. With the CoViD-19 pandemic, the age-old goal of these interbreeding bloodline elites is now within their sights, and they are salivating over the prospect of a whole NWO landing at their feet, as the sovereigns of the world they envision themselves as being.

Is it a corollary that the authors of this book, like the authors of the current plague, recognize is way overblown, but see that it has been exceedingly helpful to their aims? They fully admit that, compared with other historical plagues, we have gotten off lightly. If that’s the case, why the need for the worldwide lockdown? It was all to neat, too well coordinated, executed, and planned, for it to have been a spontaneous, unanticipated event. Of course, they will argue that they did anticipate it, that they have been expecting a global plague for years, and had been preparing for it. However, what they are hiding is the fact that it is easy to anticipate something when you are on the planning committee that has brought it about. Just look at their admission regarding the overblown nature of the plague:

Block quote ends

There is no denying that the COVID-19 virus has more often than not been a personal catastrophe for the millions infected by it, and for their families and communities. However, at a global level, if viewed in terms of the percentage of the global population effected, the corona crisis is (so far) one of the least deadly pandemics the world has experience over the last 2000 years. In all likelihood, unless the pandemic evolves in an unforeseen way, the consequences of COVID-19 in terms of health and mortality will be mild compared to previous pandemics. At the end of June 2020 (at a time when the outbreak is still raging in Latin America, South Asia and much of the US), COVID-19 has killed less than 0.006% of the world population [⁶₅]. One wonders, additionally, how many people have genuinely died from the virus and how many have merely been abducted and killed by their own governments and had their deaths blamed on the virus. Everyone knows that China, North Korea, Russia and Iran, just as examples, have an exceedingly poor record on human rights. How many people in countries such as these might have been carted away, under the pretext of being taken into quarantine, when they were actually political prisoners discretely removed from their lodgings so they could be interrogated, tortured, and in some cases, even killed?

The opportunism with which the authors seize on the CoViD-19 pandemic as a catalyst for their social engineering programs, and design they envision for society, is quite transparent. The question is: did the opportunism come before or after the fact. The conformist and pro-establishment set will dismiss claims of prior planning by WEF, WTO, WHO, and other UN agencies, vying instead for the position that they merely seized on events and took full advantage of the opportunity presented to accelerate their agenda. Either way, it calls into question the ethics of organizations that can be so cavalier, opportunistic, and callous that they can exploit human tragedy to pursue their own social engineering mandate. One is left to ponder the fact that, if they could take advantage of the ‘pandemic’ in so callous and cynical a fashion, what moral compunction would prevent them from engaging in pre-planning, premeditation, and pre-coordination of such an event as a pandemic? Their words make their agenda clear, and how conveniently the pandemic has served them:

Block quote begins

Much of what’s coming is unknown, but we can be sure of the following: in the post-pandemic world, questions of fairness will come to the fore, ranging from stagnating real incomes for a vast majority to the redefinition of our social contracts. Similarly, deep concerns about the environment or questions about how technology can be deployed and governed for the benefit of society will force their way onto the political agenda. All these issues predated the pandemic, but COVID-19 has both laid them bare for all to see and amplified them. The direction of the trends hasn’t changed but, in the wake of COVID-19, it got a lot faster [⁷⁰].

Block quote ends

Without the least temerity or hesitation, they bluntly state that the post-pandemic society will be much closer to obtaining the UN’s SDG’s than the pre-
pandemic world. They insist, if anything, that the ‘pandemic’ will serve as a catalyst for achieving the UN’s, and its respective agencies’ socialistic and environmental goals, arguing, “As economies restart, there is an opportunity to embed greater societal equality and sustainability into the recovery, accelerating rather than delaying progress towards the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals and unleashing a new era of prosperity.”

Additionally, they maintain that the consequence of the ‘pandemic’ is that it has helped us focus on four most serious existential threats the coronavirus has forced us to confront, namely, “1) nuclear threats; 2) climate change; 3) the unsustainable use of essential resources like forests, seafood, topsoil and fresh water; and 4) the consequences of the enormous differences in standards of living between the world’s peoples…”

And so, the authors sum up their desired goal in the final paragraph of their conclusion:

Block quote begins

We are now at a crossroads. One path will take us to a better world: more inclusive, more equitable and more respectful of Mother Nature. The other will take us to a world that resembles the one we just left behind—but worse and constantly dogged by nasty surprises. We must therefore get it right. The looming challenges could be more consequential than we have until now chosen to imagine, but our capacity to reset could also be greater than we had previously dared to hope.

Block quote ends

The ‘pandemic’ lockdown will end as the disease threat eventually subsides. These sad chapters of history do eventually draw to a close, and when they do, hope, like love, springs eternal. However, it is becoming clear that some elites, like those at WEF, planning a post-coronavirus Great Reset, don’t want things to return to normalcy. As lockdowns and mask mandates became a part of daily life over the course of 2020, politicians, from mayors to national leaders, called upon citizens to accept “The New Normal.” The phrase was bandied about with great alacrity and deployed everywhere. However, this phrase was soon replaced by a new catch phrase, “The Great Reset,” which was used to describe the monumental changes that were being promoted as essential in a post-coronavirus world. The insistence upon a Great Reset seemed artificial and agenda-driven, when there really was no such need. The elites representing WEF kept insisting that the old system was broken and needed to be reset by a new one, when their case for the dysfunctionality of the old system seemed manufactured, and in a real sense, they seemed to be asking for our “manufactured consent” to go along with their program.

Unveiled in May of 2020 by Britain’s Prince Charles and WEF’s Klaus Schwab, the Great Reset is an overarching plan to create what its social engineer promoters describe as a more equal future society, a cashless society, integrated and sustained by a worldwide digital currency to replace the old national currencies that had really been set up for failure through sabotage—due to a manufactured ‘problem’ calling for a ‘pandemic’ lockdown ‘response’; requiring a rescue plan ‘solution’. In essence, the entire operation was engineered according to Hegelian dialectics, consisting of a problem-reaction-solution endgame. World leaders have jumped on the WEF bandwagon, the catchphrase, and political campaign slogan “Build Back Better” used to great advantage by incoming U.S. President Joe Biden in his election campaign.

Is this not the fulfilment of the technocratic age envisioned by one of the social engineering pioneers, Zbigniew Brzinski, and successors like Klaus Schwab? Opinion on the plan is divided between those who think it is just the antidote the world needs to cure its malaise, and those, including the author of this essay, who thinks it’s the road to dystopian ruination. Whatever your opinion, the ‘New Normal’ that waits in 2021 seems far from any form of normalcy.

**Vaccine Passports for Everyone**

Even WEF has acknowledged that issuing citizens with immunity passports, or certificates of vaccination, could be received with some resistance. That hasn’t stopped governments from embracing the idea. And given the level of apathy with which the general public accepted new screening measures in the wake of such false flag terror events as that supposedly perpetrated by “the shoe bomber,” it is likely that the majority will be just as complacent about vaccine certificates and vaccine tattoos. The UK is toying with the idea of creating a digital “freedom passport” database that would only grant citizens access to public places if they can be shown to have had a negative CoViD-19 test, while several other countries have discussed imposing travel and other restrictions upon the unvaxxed. France may ban the unvaccinated from public transport.
Such moves have been fiercely criticized by civil liberties advocates, but those pushing them don’t care. “Prepare for a form of health passport now,” former British Prime Minister Tony Blair recently announced. “I know all the objections, but it will happen. It’s the only way the world will function and for lockdowns to no longer be the sole course of action.” The fact that this is coming from Blair is no surprise. This is the war criminal that lied about Iraq possessing weapons of mass destruction, leading to the genocidal Iraq War. Blair also embarked on a worldwide tour to promote the War on Terror post-9/11, as one of the major participants in the 9/11 false flag terror fraud. One can see so transparently that Blair is tied to MI6 just as the Bushes were tied to the CIA.

Governments may not be able to force citizens to take an untested and improperly vetted vaccine at gunpoint, but they won’t have to. Airlines have already announced they will require proof of vaccination to fly in 2020, leaving prospective travelers with a choice: get vaxxed or stay home. Budget airline Ryanair put it rather succinctly: “Jab & go!”

**Digital IDs and Social Credit Scores**

Your vaccination records are just one facet of your identity that the architects of the Great Reset want access to. In a post on Christmas Eve, WEF announced an ambitious plan to create a digital identity app aimed at giving an official identity to more than a billion people worldwide found to be without one. Registering the world’s population is a goal being promoted by the UN, and WEF’s proposed app would enable users to be linked to ‘smart cities’, healthcare and financial services, travel and shopping providers, and government departments.

Together with the idea of health passports, one can easily imagine a world where the unvaccinated could be excluded from these vital services. As the biblical prophecy from Revelations so explicitly warned 2,000 years ago, people will be unable to buy or sell without bearing the mark, the mark being the vaccine tattoo, which will doubtlessly evolve into the Mark of the Beast. The IMF has gone even further, advocating for AI algorithms, which could be used to scan a person’s social media posts to determine their credit score. As George W. Bush so aptly put it, “You’re either with us or you’re with the terrorists.” So what happens if you make too many anti-vax posts on Facebook? You will likely be denied various privileges, which could ensure you languish at the bottom of the job market. If you can live with that, then by all means make as many anti-establishment and anti-government posts as you like.

The author of this essay has and looks where it’s got me.

**Inequality on Steroids**

Proponents of the Great Reset discuss the possibility of building a more equal, equitable economy after CoViD-19. But if current trends are anything to go by, that economy looks more like medieval feudalism, with a tiny group of billionaires at the top and the rest of us at the bottom.

Lockdowns have been disastrous for small businesses. San Francisco, for example, has seen half of its small enterprises close, while New Orleans, heavily dependent on the tourism and hospitality industries, and has lost 45 percent of its small businesses. The situation is the same virtually everywhere, with countries like Ireland, which implemented a second lockdown this winter, seeing more businesses fail.

The world’s billionaires, however, are certainly not suffering. America’s titans of commerce increased their wealth by nearly a trillion dollars since the start of the pandemic. Amazon, for instance, earned $89 billion by the second quarter of 2020, raising CEO Jeff Bezos’ fortune to $200 billion. The combined wealth of the 12 richest Americans—including Facebook CEO Mark Zuckerberg and Microsoft CEO and vaccine evangelist Bill Gates—grew by a staggering 40 percent.

All of this bodes well for the world imagined by WEF. According to the notorious promotional video by the organization, by 2030 the average person will “own nothing and be happy.” Meanwhile, in confirmation of this bold statement, a whistleblower allegedly with the Canadian government leaked the plans for a phase 2 and phase 3 ‘pandemic’ response, which would lead to even more draconian lockdown measures, travel restrictions, and bans on social gatherings. The leaked documents allege that virtually everyone will find themselves in debt as the economies of nation states begin to collapse, at which point, people will be presented with a contract, in which they can apply for debt forgiveness in exchange for signing over all their financial assets and property. Goods and services will instead be rented from corporations and delivered by drones, a service only companies like Amazon will be able to provide.

**A New Push for Environmentalism**

Before the ‘pandemic’ hit, climate change was the pet issue of governments worldwide, as leaders competed to see who would be the first off the starting
blocks in phasing out hydrocarbon fuel dependency. The proponents of the Great Reset have jumped on the same bandwagon; foreseeing a global carbon tax system being set in place by 2030, with citizens eating meat as “an occasional treat, not a staple. For the good of the environment.”

World leaders will likely renew their commitment to carbon emission reduction in 2021. Joe Biden, signed the U.S. back up to the Paris climate agreement immediately after his inauguration. While the average person may pay a little more for the privilege of enjoying certain luxuries in the years ahead, 2030 will be the year that change will really be noticeable, according to WEF, with expectations that climate change will have displaced a billion people worldwide, creating an unprecedented wave of refugees. Under the terms of the Great Reset, WEF warns that, “we’ll have to do a better job at welcoming and integrating refugees.”

Of course, WEF can count on legions of grassroots activists to promote these schemes to the masses. WEF’s youth wing—the Global Shapers Community—took part in last year’s climate marches, the community’s leaders being trained by the Climate Reality Project, an activist organization run by WEF trustee Al Gore. As one would expect, these activists made demands for climate action when WEF met in Davos, Switzerland, in January of 2020.

Dissent Is Censored

With WEF members literally funding their own activist movements, it will be well-nigh impossible to discern top-down influence from grassroots change. In the case of WEF’s push for a new environmentalism, Greta Thunberg and British Petroleum have jumped on the same bandwagon. Never in the history of propaganda has the face of a movement been as unmasked as dear Greta. She is so transparently an agent of the globalists.

When it comes to reimagining capitalism, Pope Francis and Mastercard are giving corporations a greater say in cultural and political issues. Regarding health policy, WEF, with the backing of corporate media, are right behind Bill Gates deciding our collective fates through medicine and disease prevention. ‘What a great man,’ people can be heard saying of Gates, when he has so obviously been set up by the military-pharmaceutical-industrial complex to be Dr. Death, a eugenist that makes Hitler and Stalin look like philanthropists in comparison.

Discuss any of the contradictions and problems inherent in these post-CoViD-19 predictions, however, and you’re labeled a “conspiracy theorist.” With the world’s social media giants all cracking down on ‘conspiracy’ content, it’s clear that the engineers of the plandemic get a free pass to disseminate propaganda and fake news, while truthers are labelled conspiracy theorists.

In 2021, it is pretty clear that we will be subject to far more censorship. In 2020 alone, Twitter censored the president of the U.S. and banned a national newspaper for reporting damaging information on his opponent. When it comes to content branded as “conspiracy theory,” discussion on the efficacy and safety of vaccines and many other issues will suffer from greater restrictions.

Amid the global upheaval brought on by the ‘pandemic’, it’s easy to imagine world leaders and corporations taking advantage of the chaos to impose more controls over the populace. Prince Charles himself ever described our turbulent times as a “golden opportunity” to implement “big visions of change.” Sure, Chuck, never let a good crisis go to waste, like arranging a car accident to get rid of a problem.

However, the movers and shakers who travel every year to WEF’s summit in Davos have boasted about their “great” plans before, from 2009’s “Shaping the Post-Crisis World,” to 2012’s “The Great Transformation.” The implementation of premeditated fraud, the Great Reset, will depend on their accomplices in governments and the complicity of their corporate sponsors, and how successfully “economic necessity” can be promoted in the face of “public resistance.” The most likely scenario is that the Great Reset will spawn splinter movements, where it will be hard to discern how they all line up as part of a greater scheme that is the aggregate of its parts. Regardless, WEF’s suggestions will surely continue to shape discussion long after the ‘pandemic’ crisis passes [10].

Having a legal identity is not just about opening a bank account, but having access to healthcare, and the right to vote, as well as one’s eligibility for a job also depend on it. Yet, according to the World Bank, about one billion people lack such an identity. Nevertheless, tech entrepreneur Joseph Thompson has devised a digital app that allows people without official documents to create a personal legal identity.
A UN Goal

Ensuring everyone has a legal identity, including birth registration, by 2030 is one of the UN’s SDGs, prompting the World Bank to launch its Identification for Development (ID4D) initiative in 2014. According to the latest data from the World Bank, there are just over 987 million people in the world who have no legal identity, down from 1.5 billion in 2016. The majority live in far periphery and near core countries, where almost 45% of women and 28% of men lack a legal ID.

For the almost 80 million people forced to flee their homes due to war or persecution in 2021, the situation could not be worse. Identity documents are often lost in the confusion, despite being necessary for a successful bid as a refugee claimant.

A Smartphone Solution

Joseph Thompson’s app paved the way for the first ‘lockchain baby’. Image: AID: Tech

Thompson’s app uses blockchain to protect the user’s digital identity from interference, making it accessible only to the person whose ID it holds. As a digital solution, it goes with the grain of how many people in emerging economies manage their finances using smartphones. It is not hard to see how we are moving incrementally closer to the Mark of the Beast, with microchipping or digital tattooing of the whole population. A study of 15 developing nations identified 600 million people with smartphones but no bank account. Many of these people rely on digital payment apps to manage their money, the transaction itself digitally verifying their identity.

More Transparency for Charities

Thompson hit on the idea after taking part in a charity drive. He raised $122,000 for charity, but when he asked how the money was being spent, the charity was unable to tell him because it had no way of tracking individual donations. “I’m not a humanitarian or anything, but I just thought there has to be a better way for transparency and traceability of fund transfer,” he said. As a result, Thompson’s R&D team created a “Transparency Engine,” enabling charities to follow the money to see that donations are well spent. By making the transactions digital, not only can charities see that donations reach their intended recipients, but, by using blockchain, the whole system is much more secure than sending cash.
The First Blockchain Baby

Thompson’s then turned his attention to helping solve the problem of people with no legal ID. One of the issues they face is registering the birth of a child. Women lacking ID face particular challenges, since the law requires the father’s ID when a birth is registered. “We’ve got projects in Tanzania where we had the first baby in the world born on the blockchain,” says Thompson. “The mother who gave birth – she owned the data for the child. So she was building a data credit profile. She could prove she got the right medicine.”

Thompson’s company, AID: Tech, hopes to help as many as 2 million people. Work is being undertaken on financial inclusion projects in Uganda, Nigeria, and Southeast Asia. Now it’s turning its attention to helping the almost 40 million Europeans who lack access to financial services. Last year, Thompson and AID: Tech won the Game Changer of the Year award from the Schwab Foundation for Social Entrepreneurship for the impact of their innovations on fighting corruption. How ironic that the award should be named after Klaus Schwab, one of the biggest frauds there is, and a major accomplice in one of the greatest criminal swindles of all times—the CoViD-19 plandemic [52].

Many failed to heed the New Testament prophecy, “And he causes all, the small and the great, and the rich and the poor, and the freemen and the slaves, to be given a mark on their right hand or on their forehead, and he provides that no one will be able to buy or to sell, except the one who has the mark, either the name of the beast or the number of his name.” (Revelation 13:16-17) Even as the technology for the mark of the beast is being put in place by the tech wizards, people are still in denial. And when the lockdown breaks the food chain and food is scarce, they will be told to line up for their vaccine and vaccine certificate if they want to have access to food. How many governments will try and pull that fast one? In Canada, they have already initiated another shelter-in-place lockdown in many provinces, with the Prince of the Airwaves broadcasting his fake news on the new strain of CoViD-19 and the fake stats issued daily that show the infection rate rising. Now the premier of the province of Ontario is ready to call in the army to help police the streets for those who break quarantine and fail to observe shelter-in-place measures. Of course, the thought police will show full support for these draconian lockdown orders and will be reporting people left, right and center with their “If you see something say something” neighborhood-watch-busybody-snitch program. And rest assured that they will be righteous as a preacher in the pulpit about it and holier than thou to boot.
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