

Case Study

Analysis Comparative Performance Bank between 2017 And 2018 (Cases Study at PT BPD Jawa Barat dan Banten Tbk)

Viciwati

Faculty of Economics and Business - Universitas Mercu Buana

*Corresponding Author

Didik Ardian

Abstract: This study aims are analysis of performance Bank at PT BPD Jawa Barat dan Banten Tbk. The method used paired t-test. The result is the performance of PT BPD Jawa Barat and Banten Tbk between 2017 and 2018 is not difference.

Keywords: Financial Performance of Bank.

INTRODUCTION

PT Bank Pembangunan Daerah Jawa Barat and Banten Tbk (BJBR) targets that the final profit of 2019 will reach Rp 1.6 trillion to Rp 1.7 trillion. Until October 2019, the profit position has reached around Rp 1.27 trillion. The Director of Bank bjb, Yuddy Renaldi revealed that the target will be achieved by a number of strategies including improving credit quality. "We maintain credit quality and improve credit quality," said Yuddy Renaldi, during a press conference after the Analyst Meeting at Pacific Place, Jakarta, Wednesday (10/30/2019). Not only that, he also said that he would conduct restructuring and settlement of a number of loans, so that it could be an additional portion of profit. Bank bjb will also expand credit, especially for certain segments. Read: Growing 8.3%, Bank bjb Record Total Assets of Rp 123.6 T. He added, the increase in profits was also supported by additional fee-based income until the end of the year with support from IT. Bank BJB will also boost the portion of profits through treasury transactions. "This keeps us moving, encouraging steps that have become pipelines until the end of the year," he said. Until September 2019, Bank BJB's profit was in the position of Rp 1.13 trillion. This figure is down compared to the same period last year of Rp 1.34 trillion, or there is a difference of around Rp 128 billion. According to Yuddy, a large burden in quarter III-2019 was the formation of impairment losses (CKPN) in accordance with PSAK 71. "In the third quarter, the PSAK 71 was fulfilled Rp 161 billion," he said. (Astutik, Y. 2019).

Based on the above, the researcher is interested in examining bank performance at the bank BPD Jawa Barat dan Banten.

This study aims for determine whether there is a difference or not the bank's performance at the bank BPD Jawa Barat dan Banten by using the different t test.

Based on the before reseach are Rahmaniah and Wibowo that the year 2011 to 2013 on the third BUS (Islamic Banks) nothing is declared unhealthy and potentially high financial distress, the three buses experienced a decline in the performance of earnings as measured by ROA and ROE and liquidity ratios that FDR, but the decline no significant effect and does not experience the potential of high financial distress. (Rahmaniah M & Wibowo H. 2015). Widyanto that the comparison of the performance of DKI Bank and PT BPD Jawa Tengah in 2017 are not significant difference; the comparison of the PT BPD Central Java's performance is not significant difference for 2016 and 2017, but the comparison the The performance of Bank DKI for 2016 and 2017 is significant difference. The implementation of Circular Letter Number 14 / SEOJK.03 / 2017 regional development banks period 2016 (before) and 2017 (after), shows that the assessment of financial performance of PT BPD Central Java are not significant difference, however the assessment of financial DKI Bank's performance is a

Quick Response Code



Journal homepage:

<http://www.easpublisher.com/easmb/>

Article History

Received: 19.10.2019

Accepted: 02.11.2019

Published: 13.11.2019

Copyright © 2019: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non commercial use (NonCommercial, or CC-BY-NC) provided the original author and source are credited.

significant difference. In 2017 after the implementation of Circular Letter Number 14 /SEOJK.03 / 2017 the results show that the comparison of the performance of PT BPD Jawa Tengah and Bank DKI are not significant difference. (Widyanto. M.L, 2019) There is a significant difference in the ratio of LDR, ROA, CAR, BOPO and not significant in the ratio of ROE and NPL in conventional banks and sharia banks (Putri Y.F, 2015) Helsinawati. *et al.*, that the assessment financial performance of PT. Bank Bukopin Tbk before and after the application of branchless banking is not difference and not significant, but is not fixed value.(Helsinawati. Widyanto, M L, & Faizal, H 2018). Better performance for PT Bank Rakyat Indonesia on the CAR, ROA, BOPO, LDR ratio while the NPF ratio is better for Mualat Sharia bank. (Setyaningsih A & Utami,S.T, 2013) Permana D that strategic clarity in term of align with vision, priority of strategy and scope of strategy have positive significant impact on strategy implementation success in Indonesian Islamic banking. The implications of these findings are further elaborated. (Permana D, 2017). There are not difference significant between Performance Ratio of Bank Permata in 2018 and Performance Ratio of Bank Permata in 2017. (Viciwati, 2019), The result are the performance ratio of Based on result and discussion so the conclusion is performance bank of PT Bank Negara Indonesia (Persero) the value of determination or composite since 2015 until 2018 is healthy (Faizal H & Rodiana R, 2019)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Overall bank performance is an illustration presentations achieved by banks in their operations, both concerning financial aspects, marketing and collection distribution of funds, technology and human resources. (Fahmi. I, 2014)

CAR is the capital adequacy that shows the ability of banks to maintain capital sufficient and ability of bank management in identifying, measuring, monitoring, and controlling risks arising that can affect the magnitude bank capital (Suhardjono, MK. 2011).

In evaluating the level of capital adequacy, it must be linked to the bank's risk profile. The higher the bank's risk, the greater the capital that must be provided

RESEACH RESULT

PT BPD JAWA BARAT DAN BANTEN, Tbk

Ratio Financial	(%)	
	2018	2017
1. Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR)	18,63	18,77
2. Non-productive earning assets and non-earning non-performing assets to total earning assets and non-earning asset	1,26	1,04
3. Productive assets have a problem with total productive assets	1,32	1,11
4. Allowance for impairment losses (CKPN) of financial assets to earning asset	0,65	0,6

to anticipate the risks that will arise. (Madyawati URN, 2018)

HYPOTHESIS

H_a: It is suspected that there are differences in financial performance of PT BPD Jawa Baratand Banten Tbk between performance 2017 and performance 2018.

DATA ANALYSIS

Data analisys used Paired test a. Descriptive Analysis, b. Data Quality Analysis, c. Average Difference Analysis by t test (Paired Sample t-tes or Wilcoxon Test

RESULTS AND DISCUSION

History and Profile

The West Java Regional Development Bank and Banten Tbk (Bank Jabar Banten / BJB Bank) (BJBR) was established on April 8, 1999. The BJB Bank was previously a Dutch-owned company in Indonesia nationalized in 1960, namely N.V. Denis (De Eerste Nederlandsche Indische Shareholding) and started his commercial activities on May 20, 1961.The head office of BJB Bank is located at Menara Bank bjb, Jl. Naripan No. 12-14, Bandung 40111 - Indonesia. At present, BJB Bank has 63 branch offices, 311 sub-branch offices, 337 cash offices, 142. Shareholders who have 5% or more shares of BJB Bank, namely: West Java Provincial Government (controlling) (38.262%), Bandung Regency Local Government (7.022%) and Banten Provincial Government (5.369%).Based on the Company's Articles of Association, the scope of BJBR's activities is to carry out business activities in the banking sector. In addition to banking activities, BJBR also assisted Provincial, City / Regency Governments throughout West Java and Banten in fostering Rural Credit Banks (BPR) and other financial service institutions owned by Provincial, City / Regency Governments throughout West Java and Banten, which were partially owned by BJBR, or BJBR does not own any shares, but was asked to help with the development of BPR.On June 29, 2010, BJBR obtained an effective statement from BAPEPAM & LK to conduct an Initial Public Offering of 2,424,072,500 Series B Shares with a nominal value of Rp250, - per share and an offering price of Rp600, - per share. BJBR listed all of its shares on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) on July 8, 2010 (Britama.com, 2012).

5. NPL gross				1,65	1,51
6. NPL net				0,9	0,79
7. Return on Asset (ROA)				1,71	2,01
8. Return on Equity (ROE)				18,31	20,05
9. Net Interest Margin (NIM)				6,37	6,76
10. Operating Expenses against Operating Income (BOPO)				84,22	82,25
11. Loan to Deposit Ratio (LDR)				91,89	87,27

Source: Otoritas Jasa Keuangan 2018 & 2019.

BPD Jabar and Banten bank financial performance ratios in 2017 and 2018 that will be compared, then in the table below, the results of statistical analysis

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
PERFORMANCE PT BPD JAWA BARAT DAN BANTEN, Tbk 2018 (%)	11	,65	91,89	20,6282	34,04285
PERFORMANCE PT BPD JAWA BARAT DAN BANTEN, Tbk 2017 (%)	11	,60	87,27	20,1964	32,71685
Valid N (listwise)	11				

Based on descriptive statistical analysis in 2018 the mean value is 20.6282%, and the standard deviation is 34.04285% while in 2017 the mean value is 20.1964% and the standard deviation is 32.71685%.

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		PERFORMANCE PT BPD JAWA BARAT DAN BANTEN, Tbk 2018 (%)	PERFORMANCE PT BPD JAWA BARAT DAN BANTEN, Tbk 2017 (%)
N		11	11
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	20,6282	20,1964
	Std. Deviation	34,04285	32,71685
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	,342	,320
	Positive	,342	,320
	Negative	-,279	-,275
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		1,133	1,061
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		,153	,210
a. Test distribution is Normal.			
b. Calculated from data.			

Based on the normal distribution table above 2018 asymp.sig (2-tailed) 0.153 > 0.05 means the data is normally distributed, whereas in 2017 asymp.sig (2-tailed) 0.210 > 0.05 means the data is normally distributed

Paired Samples Statistics

		Mean	N	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Pair 1	PERFORMANCE PT BPD JAWA BARAT DAN BANTEN, Tbk 2018 (%)	20,6282	11	34,04285	10,26431
	PERFORMANCE PT BPD JAWA BARAT DAN BANTEN, Tbk 2017 (%)	20,1964	11	32,71685	9,86450

BPD Jabar and Banten in 2018 standard deviations of 80.76148% and 2017 standard deviations of 79.23376%

Paired Samples Correlations

		N	Correlation	Sig.
Pair 1	PERFORMANCE PT BPD JAWA BARAT DAN BANTEN, Tbk 2018 (%) & PERFORMANCE PT BPD JAWA BARAT DAN BANTEN, Tbk 2017 (%)	11	1,000	,000

BPD Jabar and Banten for the correlation data above 1 or 100% it means that there is a correlation between the performance of 2018 and 2017 on the performance of banks

Paired Samples Test

		Paired Differences				t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
		Mean	Std.	Std.	95% Confidence			

Pair	PERFORMANCE PT BPD JAWA BARAT DAN BANTEN, Tbk 2018 (%) - PERFORMANCE PT BPD JAWA BARAT DAN BANTEN, Tbk 2017 (%)	,43182	1,63065	,49166	Interval of the Difference		,878	10	,400
					Lower	Upper			
1					-,66367	1,52730			

BPD Jabar and Banten in the paired sample test the sig (2-tailed) value of 40% is greater than 5%, meaning that the performance of banks between 2017 and 2018 is not difference.

CONCLUSION

The result of this reseach is the performance of banks between performance of PT BPD Jawa Barat and Banten.Tbk 2017 and 2018 performance of PT BPD Jawa Barat and Banten.Tbk is not difference.

REFERENCES

- Astutik, Y. (2019). Bank BJB Targetkam Laba Rp 1.7 T di 2019, Ini Stateginya, CNBC Indonesia. Diunggah 30 October 2019 18:28 <https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/market/20191030182136-17-111472/bank-bjb-targetkan-laba-rp-17-t-di-2019-ini-strateginya>.
- Rahmaniah, M., & Wibowo, H. (2015). Analisis Potensi Terjadinya Financial Distress Pada Bank Umum Syariah (BUS) di Indonesia, *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Perbankan Syariah*, 3 (1),1-20 .
- Widyanto, M.L. (2019). Comparative Analysis Implementation of Circular Letters Financial Services Authority Number 14 / Seojk.03 / 2017 Concerning Assessment of The Soundness Level of Regional Development Banks (Case Study at Bank DKI and BPD Jawa Tengah, *Saudi Journal of Business and Management Studi*, 4(4), 297 – 305.
- Putri, Y.F. (2015). Analisis perbandingan Kinerja keuangan bank umum dan bank syariah, *Jurnal Ekonomi* 11, *Jurnal Fakultas Ekonomi Program Studi Manajemen Universitas Jember*.
- Helsinawati., Widyanto, M.L., & Faizal, H. (2018). Impact Analysis: Before and After Branchless Banking Implementation towards the Bank's Financial Performance (Case Study on PT Bank Bukopin, Tbk). *Saudi Journal of Business and Management Studies (SJBMS)*. 3(6),670-680.
- Setyaningsih,A., & Utami, S.T. (2013). Analisa perbandingan kinerja keuangan perbankan syariah dengan perbankan konvensional, *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Kewirausahaan* 13 (1).
- Permana, D. (2017). Toward the Best Model of Strategy Implementation in Indonesian Islamic Banking from the Lens of Strategic Clarity, *European Research Studies Journal*. 20 (4B). 3-15.
- Viciwati. (2019). Comparative Analysis Performance Ratio of pt. *Permata Bank, Tbk between 2017 And 2018*, *Scholars Bulletin*, 5 (7, 336-342.
- Faizal,H., & Rodiana, R. (2019). Analysis Performance Bank of PT Bank Tabungan Negara (Persero) Tbk Period of 2015 – 2018, *South Asian*

Research Journal of Business and Management 1(2),78-84.

- Fahmi, I. (2014). Analisis Kinerja Keuangan, (Bandung: Alfabet).
- Suhardjono, M.K. (2011). Manajemen Perbankan Teori dan Aplikasi, (Yogyakarta; BPFE).
- Madyawati, U.R.N. (2018). Analisis Perbandingan kinerja Bank Syariah Dan Bank Konvensional Di Indonesia, *Yogyakarta; Universitas Islam Indonesia Fakultas Ekonomi*.
- Britama.com. (2012). Sejarah Singkat dan Profil Singkat BJBR (Bank Jabar Banten/Bank BJB), *diunggah September 11, 2012*.
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan, (2019) Laporan Keuangan BPD Jabar dan Banten 2018. www.ojk.go.id.
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan, (2018) Laporan Keuangan BPD Jabar dan Banten 2017. www.ojk.go.id.