

Original Research Article

Mortality Factors in the Intensive Care Unit

M. Nour¹, Y. Haouas^{1*}, H. Nidyahya¹, S. Chebbar¹, S. Jamali¹, F. Faouji¹, A. Mounir¹, C. Elkettani Elhamdi¹

¹Anesthesia and Intensive Care Department, University Hospital Ibn Rochd Casablanca, Morocco

Article History

Received: 15.05.2024

Accepted: 21.06.2024

Published: 27.06.2024

Journal homepage:

<https://www.easpublisher.com>

Quick Response Code



Abstract: The death is a major accident of which the assessment and analysis are needed to improve the quality of care in an ICU. The aim of our work was to study mortality, analyze the main causes and assess avoidable and non-avoidable causes, in order to target possible preventive action. Prospective study carried out in the surgical intensive care unit, including all patients who died more than 48 hours after admission. Several severity scores were tested (Apache, SOFA, IGS), but none of them showed superiority over the others, and therefore represent only estimates of the severity of multivisceral failure. This high mortality rate can be explained by several factors: age, causal pathology and associated defects, but also by nosocomial infections, which remain a major cause and which can be reversed by preventive measures, in particular the correct prescription of antibiotics.

Keywords: Mortality - Resuscitation - Severity scores - Visceral failure.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution **4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)** which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

INTRODUCTION

Death is a major event, and one that needs to be assessed and analyzed in the intensive care unit. Although pathophysiological processes and new therapeutic approaches are widely analyzed, comparable data are less available on causes of death, and the short- and medium-term fate of patients.

The mission of an intensive care unit is to care for patients likely to present one or more acute, life-threatening visceral failures, necessitating the use of suppletive methods. Thus, knowledge of the causes and factors of mortality will contribute not only to a better assessment of ICU patients, but also reveal a new avenue of research to improve the short- and medium-term prognosis of these patients and the risk factors for mortality.

The aim of our study is to investigate mortality, analyze the main causes and assess avoidable and non-avoidable causes, in order to take a critical look at the way the patient has been managed, and possibly target one or more preventive actions to reduce mortality.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This is a prospective, descriptive and analytical study, spread over a 12-month period from January 1, 2022 to December 2022, on the causes of mortality in the IBN ROCHD surgical emergency resuscitation service in Casablanca; deceased beyond 48h of hospitalization

were retained. Patients who died before 48 hours of hospitalization were excluded from the study.

Two severity scores were calculated for each patient on the basis of clinical and biological parameters. IGS II (Simplified Severity Index) APACHE II (Acute Physiology and Chronic Health).

Visceral failure scores: SOFA (Sequential Organ Failure Assessment), MODS (Multiple Organ Dysfunction Syndrome), LODS (Logistic Organ Dysfunction Score).

Therapeutic interventions: antibiotic therapy, anticoagulants, vasoactive drugs, antiepileptic, extra-renal purification, surgical revision and artificial ventilation.

Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS software, supplemented by Excel 2010. The various parameters were calculated and subjected to univariate and multivariate analysis, with a comparison between the surviving and deceased groups.

RESULTS

The age of our patients ranged from 16 to 91 years, with an average age of 43.9 years. 78.9% of patients were under 65 years of age. The average length of stay for our patients was 7.8 ± 10.4 days, with extremes ranging from 2 days to 75 days.

208 patients (41.3%) were admitted for medical conditions, 167 (33.3%) for surgical conditions, and 128 (25.4%) for traumatic conditions. Hemorrhagic stroke was the most frequent cause of patients admitted to the ICU, either from the neurosurgery department or via the emergency department, accounting for around 25 cases, or 4.31% of total causes of mortality.

Traumatic surgical pathology is dominated by femur fractures, occurring mainly in young adults

following high-energy trauma. Represents 12 of 30 cases of surgical trauma pathology.

23.4% of patients had at least one nosocomial infection during their stay in the ICU, with pneumonia noted in 82 patients (16.3%), urinary tract infection in 20 patients (3.9%), and nosocomial meningitis in 16 patients (3.18%). Thromboembolic complications were noted in 8 patients (1.6%).

Table 1: Medical-surgical admission diagnosis

Diagnosis	Death rate	%
AVCH	12 case	4,61%
Meningo- encephalitis	6 case	4,61%
Respiratory distress	4 case	3,07%
Choc septic shok in pneumonia	3 case	2,30%
Febril conscieous disorders	2 case	1,53%e
Pulmonary embolism	2 case	1,53%
Intoxication	2 case	1,53%
Acute Pancreatitis	1 case	0,76%
Undertminated causes		
Sepsis shok	1 case	0,76%
Sever sepsis LMNH	1 case	0,76%
Necrotizing fasciitis	1 case	0,76%
Fat Embolism	1 case	0,76%
Total	64 case	49,23%

The severity and visceral failure scores calculated for patients who died patients had the following mean values (Table 2).

Table 2: Mean values of severity and visceral failure scores in deceased patients

Scores	Moyen	STDEV type
IGS II	21,79	14,00
APACHE II	9,54	6,45
SOFA	3,71	3,28
MODS	3,05	2,47
LODS	2,49	2,42

Severity and visceral failure scores for our patients had the following mean values (Table 6): Patients' mean IGS II was higher in deceased patients, with a significant difference (35.38 ± 13.52 versus 17.04 ± 10.68 , $p < 0.0001$), the same for APACHE II (16.07 ± 6.18 versus 7.26 ± 4.77 , $p < 0.0001$).

The mean SOFA was significantly higher in deceased patients (7.42 ± 2.76) than in survivors (2.42 ± 2.32). MODS and LODS were also significantly higher, respectively: 5.39 ± 2.24 in the deceased versus 2.23 ± 1.97 in the survivors, and 4.86 ± 2.43 in the deceased versus 1.66 ± 1.79 in the survivors ($p < 0.0001$).

Table 3: Visceral failure scores for survivors and decedents

Scores	Survivors n= 373	Deceased n= 130	p
SOFA	$2,42 \pm 2,32$	$7,42 \pm 2,76$	$< 0,0001$
MODS	$2,23 \pm 1,97$	$5,39 \pm 2,24$	$< 0,0001$
LODS	$1,66 \pm 1,79$	$4,86 \pm 2,43$	$< 0,0001$

Nosocomial infections were more frequent in the deceased group, with a statistically significant difference (47.7% in the deceased versus. The same

situation for thromboembolic complications (4.6% in deceased patients versus 0.53% in survivors, $p < 0.0001$).

Table 4: Complications occurring during hospitalization in survivors and decedents

complications	Survivors n= 373	Deceased n= 130	p
Nosocomial infection	15%	47,6%	< 0,0001
Thrombo embolic	0,53%	4,6%	< 0,0001
atrogenic	1%	8,2%	< 0,0001

DISCUSSION

The mortality rate in an intensive care unit has tended to decline in Western countries, and seems to have been improved by the progress made in this field.

In our context, this rate remains relatively high, despite the progress made in patient management.

Mortality depends essentially on the type of patients recruited, their physiological and demographic characteristics, the practices specific to each department, and the resources available in terms of equipment and personnel.

Various indices and scores have been developed over the last thirty years to meet the growing demand for standardization of diagnostic and therapeutic procedures.

Various indices and scores have been developed over the last thirty years to meet the growing need for standardization in diagnostic and therapeutic procedures [1-3].

The establishment of prognostic scores requires the choice of a clear judgment criterion. In intensive care, this criterion is represented by in-hospital mortality, bearing in mind that in-hospital mortality differs little from the previous 30-day mortality, which is the usual criterion for most prognostic systems [1].

In this study, the APACHE II score was significantly higher in patients who died (16.07 ± 6.18 versus 7.26 ± 4.77 , $p < 0.0001$). Compared with other series; our patients had a lower mean APACHE II.

Observed mortality (25.8%) was higher than the mortality predicted (~10.6%) by this score. IGS 2 is the most widely used severity score in France and Europe [5].

In our series, we found in univariate analysis that IGS II was significantly higher in patients who died (35.38 ± 13.52 versus 17.04 ± 10.68 , $p < 0.0001$).

The observed mortality (25.8%) in our patients was higher than that predicted (~4.5%) by the mean IGS (21.79 points). This observed difference may be explained by the rate of surgical patients, who account for 33% of admissions. However, the general severity

scores have all been constructed mainly from cohorts of "medical" patients, and it is plausible that the calculation places surgical patients at a disadvantage [4].

In the series we report, visceral failure scores were significantly higher in deceased patients: 7.42 ± 2.76 versus 2.42 ± 2.32 for SOFA, 5.39 ± 2.24 versus 2.23 ± 1.97 for MODS and 4.86 ± 2.43 versus 1.66 ± 1.79 for LODS ($p < 0.0001$).

The analysis of infection rates, and especially their comparison from one service to another, is made tricky by the differences between the diagnostic techniques used and the populations studied. It is therefore not surprising to find in the abundant literature on this subject significant differences from one series to another [6].

In our series, mortality was significantly higher in patients who had developed a nosocomial infection (54.8% versus 19.26%, $p < 0.0001$) and 26% (34/130) of deaths were related to this complication.

In a French study involving 158 intensive care units, mortality was significantly higher in patients who had acquired a nosocomial infection.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no known competing interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Authors' Contributions:

Mariam NOUR, Youssef HAOUAS and hajar NIDYAHYA: Drafting of manuscript
Sara CHEBBAR, Safaa JAMALI AND Fatima-Zahra FAOUJI: Critical revision
Anas MOUNIR and Chafik ELKETTANI ELHAMDI: Final approval

REFERENCES

1. Tesema, H. G., Lema, G. F., Mesfin, N., Fentie, D. Y., & Arefayne, N. R. (2021). Patterns of admission and clinical outcomes among patients admitted to medical intensive care unit of a teaching and referral hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *Global Advances in Health and Medicine*, 10, 2164956121989258.
2. Lienhart, A., Auroy, Y., Péquignot, F., Benhamou, D., & Jouglu, E. (2013). Premières leçons de

- l'enquête "Mortalité" SFAR- INSERM. In: 45e Congrès national d'anesthésie et de réanimation. Conférences d'actualisation SFAR. Elsevier éd., Paris, 203-218.
3. Cotter, G., Moshkovitz, Y., & Milovanov, O. (2012). Acute heart failure: a novel approach to its pathogenesis and treatment. *Aur J heart Failure*, 4, 227-234.
 4. Januzzi, J. L., Morss, A., Tung, R., Pino, R., Fifer, M. A., Thompson, B. T., & Lee-Lewandrowski, E. (2006). Natriuretic peptide testing for the evaluation of critically ill patients with shock in the intensive care unit: a prospective cohort study. *Critical care*, 10(1), 1-7. doi: 10.1186/cc4839.
 5. Moreno, R., Apolone, G., & Reis Miranda, D. (1998). Evaluation of the uniformity of fit of general outcome prediction models. *Intensive care medicine*, 24, 40-47.
 6. Laupland, K. B., Kirkpatrick, A. W., Kortbeek, J. B., & Zuege, D. J. (2006). Long-term mortality outcome associated with prolonged admission to the ICU. *chest*, 129(4), 954-959.
 7. Skinner, D. L., Hardcastle, T. C., Rodseth, R. N., & Muckart, D. J. J. (2014). The incidence and outcomes of acute kidney injury amongst patients admitted to a level I trauma unit. *Injury*, 45(1), 259-264.

Cite this article: M. Nour, Y. Haouas, H. Nidyahya, S. Chebbar, S. Jamali, F. Faouji, A. Mounir, C. Elkettani Elhamdi (2024). Mortality Factors in the Intensive Care Unit. *EAS J Anesthesiol Crit Care*, 6(3), 39-42.
